# Generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants, Blagouchine's integrals, and Ramanujan summation of series <br> Marc-Antoine Coppo 

## To cite this version:

Marc-Antoine Coppo. Generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants, Blagouchine's integrals, and Ramanujan summation of series. 2023. hal-03197403v21

## HAL Id: hal-03197403 <br> https://hal.univ-cotedazur.fr/hal-03197403v21

Preprint submitted on 8 Mar 2023 (v21), last revised 3 Jun 2024 (v23)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# Generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants, Blagouchine's integrals, and Ramanujan summation of series 

Marc-Antoine Coppo*<br>Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, LJAD (UMR 7351), Nice, France


#### Abstract

The main motivation for this article is to establish a connection between a sequence of constants of Glaisher-Kinkelin type (known as the BenderskyAdamchik constants) which appear quite naturally in number theory, and a family of complex integrals recently introduced by Blagouchine. Furthermore, we also elucidate the close relation between these constants and the Ramanujan summation of certain divergent series, and we give a new convergent series expansion for the logarithm of these constants.
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## 1 Introduction

Introduced in 1933 by Bendersky [2], the sequence of mathematical constants called generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants or Bendersky-Adamchik constants (see Definition 1) arises quite naturally in number theory $[10,12,14]$. The initial purpose of this article is to link this sequence of real numbers $\left\{A_{k}\right\}$ to the family of complex integrals $\left\{\mathcal{J}_{k, p}\right\}$ defined (for nonnegative integers $k$ and $p$, with $p$ odd) by

$$
\mathcal{J}_{k, p}:=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{p}{2}+i x\right)}{(2 k+p+2 i x) \cosh (\pi x)} d x .
$$

In an unpublished note, Blagouchine [3] introduced these integrals in the cases $p=1$ and $p=3$ only. This connection is deduced from the results of a previous study [8] on one hand (see Lemma 1), and from the residue theorem on the other

[^0]hand (see Proposition 1). In particular, we obtain a nice expression of the integral $\mathcal{J}_{k}=\mathcal{J}_{k, 1}$ in terms of the generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants $A_{j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq$ $k-1$ (see Corollary 1). More precisely, we show that for all $k \geq 1$,
$$
\mathcal{J}_{k}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i x\right)}{(2 k+1+2 i x) \cosh (\pi x)} d x=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{j}\binom{k}{j} \ln A_{j}-\frac{1}{k}-\frac{1}{(k+1)^{2}} .
$$

Furthermore, we completely elucidate the close relation between the logarithm of the generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constant $A_{k}$ and the sum of the divergent series $\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{k} \ln n$ in the sense of Ramanujan's summation method, following the exposition in [4]. More precisely, using the notations of [4], we show that for all $k \geq 0$,

$$
\ln A_{k}=\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^{k} \ln n+\frac{H_{k} B_{k+1}}{k+1}+\frac{1}{(k+1)^{2}},
$$

where, in this expression, $H_{k}$ and $B_{k}$ denote respectively the $k$ th harmonic number and the $k$ th Bernoulli number.

Finally, we present, in the last section, a new convergent series expansion for the constant $\ln A_{k}$ involving the Bernoulli numbers of the second kind (see Corollary $2)$.

## 2 Constants of Glaisher-Kinkelin type and Ramanujan summation

Definition 1. Let $\left\{B_{2 r}\right\}_{r>0}$ be the sequence of (even) Bernoulli numbers. The sequence of numbers $\left\{A_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 0}$ can be defined as follows [14, Eq. (1.1)-(1.6)]: for all $k \geq 0$,

$$
\ln A_{k}:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \nu^{k} \ln \nu-P_{k}(n) \ln n+Q_{k}(n)\right\},
$$

where $P_{k}$ and $Q_{k}$ are polynomials of degree $k+1$ whose expressions are given by

$$
P_{0}(x)=x+\frac{1}{2}, Q_{0}(x)=x,
$$

and for $k \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{k}(x)=\frac{x^{k+1}}{k+1}+\frac{x^{k}}{2}+\sum_{r=1}^{\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]} \frac{B_{2 r}}{(2 r)!}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{2 r-1}(k-j+1)\right) x^{k+1-2 r}, \\
& Q_{k}(x)=\frac{x^{k+1}}{(k+1)^{2}}-\sum_{r=1}^{\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]+\frac{(-1)^{k}-1}{2}} \frac{B_{2 r}}{(2 r)!}\left\{\prod_{j=1}^{2 r-1}(k-j+1) \sum_{j=1}^{2 r-1} \frac{1}{k-j+1}\right\} x^{k+1-2 r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The numbers $A_{k}$ (for $k=0,1,2, \ldots$ ) are called the generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants or the Bendersky-Adamchik constants. Adamchik [1, Prop. 4] has given a nice expression of these constants $A_{k}$ in terms of the derivatives of the Riemann zeta function. More precisely, this expression (called Adamchik's formula in the remainder of this article) is the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln A_{k}=\frac{H_{k} B_{k+1}}{k+1}-\zeta^{\prime}(-k) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{k}$ and $B_{k}$ denote respectively the $k$ th harmonic number (with the usual convention $H_{0}=0$ ) and the $k$ th Bernoulli number.

Example 1. a) The constant $A_{0}$ is the Stirling constant

$$
A_{0}=\sqrt{2 \pi}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{n!}{n^{n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-n}}\right\}=\exp \left(-\zeta^{\prime}(0)\right)
$$

b) The constant $A_{1}$ is the classical Glaisher-Kinkelin constant $[1,10,14]$. We have

$$
A_{1}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{\prod_{\nu=1}^{n} \nu^{\nu}}{n^{\frac{n^{2}}{2}+\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{12}} e^{-\frac{n^{2}}{4}}}\right\}=\exp \left\{\frac{1}{12}-\zeta^{\prime}(-1)\right\}
$$

c) For $k=2$, we have

$$
A_{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{\prod_{\nu=1}^{n} \nu^{\nu^{2}}}{n^{\frac{n^{3}}{3}+\frac{n^{2}}{2}+\frac{n}{6}} e^{-\frac{n^{3}}{9}+\frac{n}{12}}}\right\}=\exp \left(-\zeta^{\prime}(-2)\right)
$$

Remark 1. Bendersky [2] introduced for the first time the sequence of numbers $A_{k}$ without any consideration of their relation with the $\zeta$-function. From the point of view of the summation of divergent series, the constants $\ln A_{k}$ can be interpreted as follows: for any non-negative integer $k$, if $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^{k} \ln n$ denotes the $\mathcal{R}$-sum of the divergent series $\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{k} \ln n$ (i.e. the sum of the series in the sense of Ramanujan's summation method, following the notations of [4]), then $\ln A_{k}$ and $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^{k} \ln n$ are linked by the simple relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln A_{k}=\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^{k} \ln n+\frac{H_{k} B_{k+1}}{k+1}+\frac{1}{(k+1)^{2}} \quad(k \geq 0) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation results both from [4, p. 68] and from Adamchik's formula (1). By [2, Eq. ( $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}{ }_{k}$ ), p. 280], we also deduce the equivalent relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^{k} \ln n=\ln A_{k}-\frac{H_{k} B_{k+1}}{k+1}-\frac{1}{(k+1)^{2}}=\int_{0}^{1} \ln \Gamma_{k}(x+1) d x \tag{2b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{k}$ is the Bendersky generalized gamma function [2, pp. 279-280]. This function verifies

$$
\Gamma_{k}(n+1)=\prod_{\nu=1}^{n} \nu^{\nu^{k}} \text { for any integer } n \geq 1
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_{k}(n+1) \sim A_{k} n^{P_{k}(n)} e^{-Q_{k}(n)} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

In particular, $\Gamma_{0}=\Gamma$, and $\Gamma_{1}$ is the Kinkelin-Bendersky hyperfactorial function [13, Def. 3]. Unaware of Bendersky's work and following an idea of Milnor, Kurokawa and Ochiai [11, Thm. 2] provided an expression of the function $\Gamma_{k}$ in terms of the derivative of the Hurwitz zeta function $\zeta(s, x)$ at $s=-k$. Precisely, they established the relation ${ }^{1}$

$$
\ln \Gamma_{k}(x)=\zeta^{\prime}(-k, x)-\zeta^{\prime}(-k) \quad(x>0, k \geq 0)
$$

which is a generalization of the classical formula for $\Gamma$ [7, Def. 9.6.13]

$$
\ln \Gamma(x)=\zeta^{\prime}(0, x)-\zeta^{\prime}(0) \quad(x>0) .
$$

Remark 2. The following identities:

$$
\zeta^{\prime}(-2 k)=(-1)^{k} \frac{(2 k)!}{2(2 \pi)^{2 k}} \zeta(2 k+1) \quad(k \geq 1)
$$

and

$$
\zeta^{\prime}(1-2 k)=(-1)^{k+1} \frac{(2 k)!}{k(2 \pi)^{2 k}} \zeta^{\prime}(2 k)+\frac{B_{2 k}}{2 k}\left(H_{2 k-1}-\gamma-\ln 2 \pi\right) \quad(k \geq 1)
$$

where $\gamma$ denotes the Euler-Mascheroni constant, are easily derived (by differentiation) from the functional equation of the zeta function. Thanks to Adamchik's formula (1) and the celebrated Euler formula

$$
\zeta(2 k)=(-1)^{k+1} \frac{(2 \pi)^{2 k}}{2(2 k)!} B_{2 k} \quad(k \geq 1)
$$

we can deduce the following two ones:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln A_{2 k-1}=\frac{B_{2 k}}{2 k}\left(\gamma+\ln 2 \pi-\frac{\zeta^{\prime}(2 k)}{\zeta(2 k)}\right) \quad(k \geq 1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]and
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln A_{2 k}=\frac{B_{2 k}}{4}\left(\frac{\zeta(2 k+1)}{\zeta(2 k)}\right) \quad(k \geq 1) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

These identities will be useful in the remainder (see Example 3 below).
Example 2. The simplest cases of these identities are the following:

$$
\ln A_{1}=\frac{1}{12}\left(\gamma+\ln 2 \pi-\frac{\zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \ln A_{2}=\frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{\zeta(3)}{\zeta(2)}\right) .
$$

In particular, for small values of $k$, formula (2 b) translates into

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \ln n & =\frac{1}{2} \ln 2 \pi-1, \\
\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n \ln n & =\frac{1}{12}\left(\gamma+\ln 2 \pi-\frac{\zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right)-\frac{1}{3}, \\
\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^{2} \ln n & =\frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{\zeta(3)}{\zeta(2)}\right)-\frac{1}{9} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 Generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants and Blagouchine's integrals

Definition 2. For non-negative integers $k$ and $p$, with $p$ odd, the integral $\mathcal{J}_{k, p}$ and the series $\mathcal{S}_{k, p}$ are defined respectively by

$$
\mathcal{J}_{k, p}:=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{p}{2}+i x\right)}{(2 k+p+2 i x) \cosh (\pi x)} d x
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{S}_{k, p}:=\sum_{n=N_{p}}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{\zeta(n)}{n+k} \quad \text { with } N_{p}=\max \left(2, \frac{p+1}{2}\right) .
$$

To lighten our notations, in the remainder of the text, we set

$$
\mathcal{J}_{k}:=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i x\right)}{(2 k+1+2 i x) \cosh (\pi x)} d x=\mathcal{J}_{k, 1}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{S}_{k}:=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{\zeta(n)}{n+k}=\mathcal{S}_{k, 1}=\mathcal{S}_{k, 3} .
$$

Lemma 1. For all $k \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{k}=\frac{\gamma}{k+1}+\frac{1}{k}-\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{j}\binom{k}{j} \ln A_{j} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It results from [8, Prop. 1] that

$$
\mathcal{S}_{k}=\frac{\gamma}{k+1}+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{j}\binom{k}{j} \zeta^{\prime}(-j)+\frac{1}{k}+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{j} \frac{B_{j+1} H_{j}}{j+1} \quad(k \geq 1) .
$$

Using Adamchik's formula (1), this later expression is equivalent to (5).
The following proposition includes and extends the results of [3].
Proposition 1. For all $k \geq 0$, we have the following relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}_{k}=\frac{\gamma}{k+1}-\frac{1}{(k+1)^{2}}-\mathcal{S}_{k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}_{k, p}=(-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \mathcal{S}_{k, p} \quad(p=3,5,7, \cdots) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\mathcal{J}_{k, 3}=S_{k}$.
Proof. For $k \geq 0$, let us consider the function

$$
f_{k}(z)=\frac{\zeta(z)}{(k+z) \sin (\pi z)} .
$$

This function $f_{k}$ has poles at integers $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $n \geq 2$, the residue of $f_{k}$ at $z=n$ is

$$
\operatorname{Res}\left(f_{k} ; n\right)=\frac{(-1)^{n} \zeta(n)}{(n+k) \pi} .
$$

For $n=1, f_{k}$ has a double pole and

$$
\operatorname{Res}\left(f_{k} ; 1\right)=-\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{\gamma}{k+1}-\frac{1}{(k+1)^{2}}\right)
$$

If $p, q$ are positive odd integers with $p<q$, then, by the residue theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\operatorname{Re}(z)=p / 2} f_{k}(z) d z-\int_{\operatorname{Re}(z)=q / 2} f_{k}(z) d z=-2 i \pi \sum_{\frac{q}{2}>n>\frac{p}{2}} \operatorname{Res}\left(f_{k} ; n\right) . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, there is a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\left|\int_{\operatorname{Re}(z)=q / 2} f_{k}(z) d z\right| \leq C \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\left(\left(k+\frac{q}{2}\right)^{2}+t^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(e^{\pi t}-e^{-\pi t}\right)} d t
$$

and thus, by the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$
\left|\int_{\operatorname{Re}(z)=q / 2} f_{k}(z) d z\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad q \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Therefore, taking the limit in $(*)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\operatorname{Re}(z)=p / 2} f_{k}(z) d z=-2 i \pi \sum_{n>\frac{p}{2}} \operatorname{Res}\left(f_{k} ; n\right) . \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

This last identity allows us to deduce formulas (6) and (7).
From Lemma 1 and Proposition 1 above, we derive the following corollary.
Corollary 1. For all $k \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}_{k}=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{j}\binom{k}{j} \ln A_{j}-\frac{1}{k}-\frac{1}{(k+1)^{2}} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Formula (8) is a direct consequence of (5) and (6).
Example 3. For small positive values of $k$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{J}_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \ln 2 \pi-\frac{5}{4} \\
& \mathcal{J}_{2}=\frac{1}{3} \ln 2 \pi-\frac{1}{6} \gamma-\frac{11}{18}+\frac{1}{6} \frac{\zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}, \\
& \mathcal{J}_{3}=\frac{1}{4} \ln 2 \pi-\frac{1}{4} \gamma-\frac{19}{48}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{\zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}+\frac{1}{8} \frac{\zeta(3)}{\zeta(2)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, using formulas (3) and (4), we obtain the following general formula:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{J}_{k}= & \frac{1}{k+1} \ln 2 \pi-\frac{(k-1)}{2(k+1)} \gamma-\frac{k^{2}+3 k+1}{k(k+1)^{2}} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]}\binom{k}{2 j-1} \frac{B_{2 j} \zeta^{\prime}(2 j)}{2 j \zeta(2 j)}+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{\left[\frac{k-1}{2}\right]}\binom{k}{2 j} \frac{B_{2 j} \zeta(2 j+1)}{\zeta(2 j)} \quad(k \geq 3) . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3. Since $\mathcal{J}_{0}=-1$ (by (6)), we can write (8) in a slightly different manner:

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{j}\binom{k}{j} \ln A_{j}=\mathcal{J}_{k}-\frac{k^{2}+3 k+1}{k(k+1)^{2}} \mathcal{J}_{0} \quad(k \geq 1)
$$

Remark 4. By means of a Fourier transform method, Candelpergher [5] has recently established a beautiful relation which is a natural generalization of (6) involving a complex parameter $s$. More precisely, for any non-negative integer $k$ and any complex number $s$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(s)>\frac{1}{2}$, we have the following relation [5, Eq. (7)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{s-1} \mathcal{J}_{k}(s)=\frac{\gamma}{(k+1)^{s}}-\frac{s}{(k+1)^{s+1}}-\mathcal{S}_{k}(s) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{J}_{k}(s):=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i x\right)}{(2 k+1+2 i x)^{s} \cosh (\pi x)} d x
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{S}_{k}(s):=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{\zeta(n)}{(n+k)^{s}} .
$$

In particular, applying (10) to the special case $k=0$, allows us deduce the following interesting identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{\zeta(n)}{n^{s}}=\gamma-s-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i x\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}+i x\right)^{s} \cosh (\pi x)} d x \quad\left(\operatorname{Re}(s)>\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 New series expansions for the logarithm of the generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants

In his seminal work of 1933, Bendersky [2, p. 295-299] had presented two convergent series expansions of the logarithm $L_{k}=\ln A_{k}$ of the generalized GlaisherKinkelin constants. In this last section, we give a new one, of a different kind, involving the Bernoulli numbers of the second kind.
We first define a sequence of positive rational numbers $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ (called non-alternating Cauchy numbers [6]) by

$$
\lambda_{n}:=\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{s(n, k)}{k+1}\right| \quad(n \geq 1)
$$

where $s(n, k)$ denotes the (signed) Stirling numbers of the first kind. Alternatively, these numbers can also be defined recursively by means of the relation

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_{k}}{k!(n-k)}=\frac{1}{n} \quad(n \geq 2) .
$$

The first ones are the following:

$$
\lambda_{1}=\frac{1}{2}, \lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{6}, \lambda_{3}=\frac{1}{4}, \lambda_{4}=\frac{19}{30}, \lambda_{5}=\frac{9}{4}, \lambda_{6}=\frac{863}{84}, \text { etc. }
$$

The numbers $\lambda_{n}$ are closely related to the Bernoulli numbers of the second kind $b_{n}[9]$ through the relation

$$
\lambda_{n}=(-1)^{n-1} n!b_{n}=n!\left|b_{n}\right| \quad(n \geq 1) .
$$

We now give another interesting application of Adamchik's formula (1).
Proposition 2. Let $S(k, r)$ be the Stirling numbers of the second kind

$$
S(k, r)=\frac{1}{r!} \sum_{j=0}^{r}(-1)^{r-j}\binom{r}{j} j^{k} \quad(0 \leq r \leq k),
$$

and $\sigma_{r}$ the shifted Mascheroni series

$$
\sigma_{r}:=\sum_{n=r+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n}}{n!(n-r)} \quad(r \geq 0)
$$

Then, for all integers $k \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln A_{k}=(-1)^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{k}(-1)^{r} r!S(k, r) \sigma_{r+1}+\frac{B_{k+1}}{k+1}\left(H_{k+1}+\gamma\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Thanks to Adamchik's formula (1), formula (12) can be easily deduced from the decomposition of $\zeta^{\prime}(-k)$ given by [9, Prop. 3].

Remark 5. This formula (12) also extends to the case $k=0$ through the identity:

$$
\ln A_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \ln (2 \pi)=\sigma_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \gamma+\frac{1}{2}=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n}}{n!(n-1)}+\frac{1}{2}(1+\gamma) .
$$

Corollary 2. For all integers $k \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln A_{2 k}=\sum_{n=2 k+2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n}}{n!}\left\{\sum_{r=1}^{2 k} \frac{(-1)^{r} r!S(2 k, r)}{n-1-r}\right\}+C_{2 k}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln A_{2 k-1}=\sum_{n=2 k+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n}}{n!}\left\{\sum_{r=1}^{2 k-1} \frac{(-1)^{r-1} r!S(2 k-1, r)}{n-1-r}\right\}+\frac{B_{2 k}}{2 k}\left(H_{2 k}+\gamma\right)+C_{2 k-1}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constants $C_{k}$ are given by $C_{1}=0$, and

$$
C_{k}=(-1)^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{r} r!S(k, r) \sum_{j=r+2}^{k+1} \frac{\lambda_{j}}{j!(j-1-r)} \quad(k \geq 2) .
$$

## Example 4.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln A_{1}=\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n}}{n!(n-2)}+\frac{1}{12} \gamma+\frac{1}{8} \\
& \ln A_{2}=\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n}(n-1)}{n!(n-2)(n-3)}-\frac{1}{24} \\
& \ln A_{3}=\sum_{n=5}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n} n(n-1)}{n!(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}-\frac{1}{120} \gamma-\frac{29}{240} \\
& \ln A_{4}=\sum_{n=6}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n}(n-1)^{2}(n+4)}{n!(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)(n-5)}-\frac{113}{480} \\
& \ln A_{5}=\sum_{n=7}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n} n(n-1)\left(n^{2}+13 n-18\right)}{n!(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)(n-5)(n-6)}+\frac{1}{252} \gamma-\frac{55087}{80640}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 6. Thanks to the simple relation linking $\ln A_{k}$ to the $\mathcal{R}$-sum $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^{k} \ln n$ given by (2), we can also deduce from the previous corollary, the corresponding formulas:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^{2 k} \ln n=\sum_{n=2 k+2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n}}{n!}\left\{\sum_{r=1}^{2 k} \frac{(-1)^{r} r!S(2 k, r)}{n-1-r}\right\}-\frac{1}{(2 k+1)^{2}}+C_{2 k},  \tag{15}\\
\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^{2 k-1} \ln n=\sum_{n=2 k+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n}}{n!}\left\{\sum_{r=1}^{2 k-1} \frac{(-1)^{r-1} r!S(2 k-1, r)}{n-1-r}\right\}+\frac{B_{2 k}}{2 k} \gamma+D_{2 k}, \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
D_{2 k}=\frac{B_{2 k}-1}{(2 k)^{2}}+C_{2 k-1} \quad(k \geq 1) .
$$
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