



HAL
open science

Remarks on a formula of Blagouchine

Marc-Antoine Coppo

► **To cite this version:**

| Marc-Antoine Coppo. Remarks on a formula of Blagouchine. 2021. hal-03197403v1

HAL Id: hal-03197403

<https://hal.univ-cotedazur.fr/hal-03197403v1>

Preprint submitted on 13 Apr 2021 (v1), last revised 3 Jun 2024 (v23)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Remarks on a formula of Blagouchine

Marc-Antoine Coppo*

Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, LJAD (UMR 7351), Nice, France

Abstract We provide a proof and give some applications of an amazing formula discovered by Blagouchine.

Keywords Complex integration, generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants, infinite series with zeta values.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this short note is twofold: first, we provide a complete proof of a complex valued integral formula recently discovered by Blagouchine [2, Theorem 2], and then we relate this integral to some important mathematical constants: the Euler-Mascheroni constant, the Cohen-Boyadzhiev constant, the generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants (also known as the Bendersky constants) which occur quite naturally both in mathematical physics [8] and number theory [9, 10]. Let us note in passing that a special case of Blagouchine's formula has already been mentioned (without proof) on page 1836 of [7].

2 Blagouchine's integral

Proposition 1. For any integer $k \geq 0$, let μ_k be the infinite sum

$$\mu_k := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\zeta(n+1)}{n+k}$$

and \mathcal{I}_k be the complex valued integral

$$\mathcal{I}_k := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + ix\right)}{(2k+1+2ix) \cosh(\pi x)} dx.$$

Then we have the identity

$$\mu_k = \mathcal{I}_k. \tag{1}$$

*Corresponding author. *Email address:* coppo@unice.fr

Proof. For $k \geq 0$, let us consider the function

$$f_k(z) = \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + iz\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + k + iz\right) \cosh(\pi z)}.$$

We have $\cosh(\pi z) = 0$ if and only if $z = i/2 + in$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $n \geq 1$, the residue of f_k at $z = i/2 - in$ is

$$\frac{\zeta(1+n)}{(n+k)\pi \sinh(i\pi(\frac{1}{2} - n))} = \frac{\zeta(1+n)}{(n+k)i\pi \sin(\pi(\frac{1}{2} - n))} = \frac{(-1)^n \zeta(1+n)}{(n+k)i\pi}.$$

We integrate on a closed contour composed of the interval $D_R = [-R, R]$ and the lower semicircle C_R of radius R with center at 0. Using the Cauchy residue theorem, we can then write the following relation:

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{C_R} f_k(z) dz + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{D_R} f_k(z) dz = - \sum_{n=1}^{N_R} \text{Res}(f_k; \frac{i}{2} - in),$$

which, from the foregoing, translates into the identity

$$\int_{C_R} f_k(z) dz + \int_{D_R} f_k(z) dz = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{N_R} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\zeta(1+n)}{(n+k)}. \quad (2)$$

For $z \in C_R$, the parameterization $iz = Re^{it}$ with $-\pi/2 < t < \pi/2$, enables us to write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{C_R} f_k(z) dz \right| &= \left| \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + Re^{it}\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + k + Re^{it}\right) \cosh(i\pi Re^{it})} Re^{it} dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} \left| \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + Re^{it}\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + k + Re^{it}\right) \cosh(i\pi Re^{it})} \right| R dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{3}{2} + Re^{it}$ is in the half-plane $\text{Re}(z) > 3/2$, its absolute value is bounded by $\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)$, i.e.

$$\left| \zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + Re^{it}\right) \right| \leq \zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right).$$

Hence, when R increases towards infinity, we have the following limits:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_R} f_k(z) dz &= 0, \\ \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D_R} f_k(z) dz &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + ix\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + k + ix\right) \cosh(\pi x)} dx = 2\mathcal{I}_k, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N_R} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\zeta(1+n)}{(n+k)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\zeta(1+n)}{(n+k)} = \mu_k.$$

This allows us to deduce formula (1) by passing to the limit in (2). \square

Remark 1. The constant

$$\mu_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\zeta(n+1)}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) = 1.257746 \dots$$

has been thoroughly studied by Boyadzhiev [4] (see also [6, p. 142]). This constant is noted M in [4], K in [6], and it also appears as ν_{-1} in [7]. By a well-known series representation of Euler's constant γ , we also have

$$\mu_1 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n} = \gamma = 0.577215 \dots$$

Example 1. For $k = 0$ and 1, formula (1) gives

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + ix\right)}{(1 + 2ix) \cosh(\pi x)} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + ix\right)}{(3 + 2ix) \cosh(\pi x)} dx = \gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \right). \quad (4)$$

3 Link with the generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants

Definition 1 ([1, 9, 10]). For any integer $k \geq 0$, the constant A_k is defined by

$$\ln(A_k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{m=1}^n m^k \ln m - P_k(n) \right),$$

where $P_k(n)$ is given by $P_0(n) = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln n - n$, and

$$P_k(n) = \left(\frac{n^{k+1}}{k+1} + \frac{n^k}{2} + k! \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{n^{k-j} B_{j+1}}{(j+1)!(k-j)!} \right) \ln n - \frac{n^{k+1}}{(k+1)^2} + k! \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{n^{k-j} B_{j+1}}{(j+1)!(k-j)!} \left\{ (1 - \delta_{k,j}) \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{1}{k-i+1} \right\} \quad (k \geq 1),$$

where B_j is the j th Bernoulli number and $\delta_{k,j}$ the Kronecker symbol. The numbers A_k for $k \geq 0$ are the generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants (sometimes called the Bendersky constants). Adamchik [1, Proposition 4] has shown that these

constants admit a nice expression in terms of the derivatives of the Riemann zeta function; we have

$$A_k = \exp\left(\frac{H_k B_{k+1}}{k+1} - \zeta'(-k)\right) \quad (k \geq 0), \quad (5)$$

where H_k denotes the k th harmonic number

$$H_0 = 0, \quad H_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{j} \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Remark 2. Bendersky [3] introduced for the first time the sequence of numbers $L_k := \ln(A_k)$ without any consideration of their relation with the ζ -function. From the point of view of the summation of divergent series, the constants L_k should be interpreted as follows: we have

$$\ln(A_k) - \frac{H_k B_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} = \int_0^1 \ln \Gamma_k(x+1) dx = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k \ln n \quad (k \geq 0),$$

where Γ_k is Bendersky's generalized gamma function [3], and $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k \ln n$ is the sum (in the sense of Ramanujan's summation method) of the divergent series $\sum_{n \geq 1} n^k \ln n$ [5].

Remark 3. The following relations are easily deduced by differentiation of Riemann's functional equation for the zeta function: we have

$$\zeta'(-2k) = (-1)^k \frac{(2k)!}{2(2\pi)^{2k}} \zeta(2k+1) \quad (k \geq 1),$$

and

$$\zeta'(1-2k) = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{(2k)!}{k(2\pi)^{2k}} \zeta'(2k) + \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} (H_{2k-1} - \gamma - \ln 2\pi) \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Hence, it follows from Adamchik's formula (5) that

$$A_{2k-1} = \exp\left\{(-1)^k \frac{(2k)!}{k(2\pi)^{2k}} \zeta'(2k) + \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} (\gamma + \ln 2\pi)\right\} \quad (k \geq 1) \quad (6)$$

and

$$A_{2k} = \exp\left\{(-1)^{k+1} \frac{(2k)!}{2(2\pi)^{2k}} \zeta(2k+1)\right\} \quad (k \geq 1). \quad (7)$$

Example 2. The constant $A_0 = \exp(-\zeta'(0)) = \sqrt{2\pi}$ is the Stirling constant,

$$A_1 = \exp\left(\frac{1}{12} - \zeta'(-1)\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{\zeta'(2)}{2\pi^2} + \frac{\ln(2\pi) + \gamma}{12}\right)$$

is the Glaisher-Kinkelin constant, and

$$A_2 = \exp(-\zeta'(-2)) = \exp\left(\frac{\zeta(3)}{4\pi^2}\right).$$

Proposition 2. For any integer $k \geq 1$, we have the following identity:

$$\mathcal{I}_{k+1} = \frac{\gamma}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \ln(A_j). \quad (8)$$

Proof. Setting $\nu_k = \mu_{k+1}$, we have shown [7, Proposition 1] that

$$\nu_k = \frac{\gamma}{k+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \zeta'(-j) + C_k$$

with

$$C_k = \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{j} \frac{B_{j+1} H_j}{j+1}.$$

Thus, formula (8) is easily deduced from this relation by means of formula (5) and Proposition 1. \square

Example 3. For small values of k , formula (8) translates into the following identities:

$$\mathcal{I}_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + ix\right)}{(5 + 2ix) \cosh(\pi x)} dx = \frac{1}{2}\gamma + 1 - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) \quad (9)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_3 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + ix\right)}{(7 + 2ix) \cosh(\pi x)} dx = \frac{1}{2}\gamma + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\pi^2} \quad (10)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_4 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + ix\right)}{(9 + 2ix) \cosh(\pi x)} dx = \frac{1}{2}\gamma + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{3\zeta'(2)}{2\pi^2} - \frac{3\zeta(3)}{4\pi^2} \quad (11)$$

In the general case, we obtain the following expression which, by means of formulas (6)–(7), is equivalent to (8):

Corollary 1. For any integer $k \geq 4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_k &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + ix\right)}{(2k+1 + 2ix) \cosh(\pi x)} dx = \frac{1}{2}\gamma + \frac{1}{k-1} - \frac{1}{k} \ln(2\pi) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{k-1}{2j-1} \frac{(2j)!}{j(2\pi)^{2j}} \zeta'(2j) + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1} (-1)^j \binom{k-1}{2j} \frac{(2j)!}{2(2\pi)^{2j}} \zeta(2j+1). \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4. Blagouchine [2] also established the relation

$$\mathcal{I}_{k+1} = \frac{\gamma}{k+1} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + ix)}{(2k+1+2ix) \cosh(\pi x)} dx \quad (k \geq 0).$$

This allows us to deduce from (8) the following identity:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \ln(A_j) = \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + ix)}{(2k+1+2ix) \cosh(\pi x)} dx \quad (k \geq 1). \quad (13)$$

In particular,

$$\ln(\sqrt{2\pi}) = \frac{5}{4} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + ix)}{(3+2ix) \cosh(\pi x)} dx.$$

References

- [1] V. Adamchik, Polygamma functions of negative order, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **100** (1998), 191–199.
- [2] I. V. Blagouchine, A complement to a recent paper on some infinite sums with the zeta values, preprint, 2020. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.00108>
- [3] L. Bendersky, Sur la fonction gamma généralisée, *Acta Math.* **61** (1933), 263–322.
- [4] K. N. Boyadzhiev, A special constant and series with zeta values and harmonic numbers, *Gazeta Matematica* **115** (2018), 1–16.
- [5] B. Candelpergher, *Ramanujan Summation of Divergent Series*, Lecture Notes in Math. 2185, Springer, 2017.
- [6] H. Cohen, *Number Theory, Volume II: Analytic and Modern Tools*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 240, Springer, 2007.
- [7] M-A. Coppo, A note on some alternating series involving zeta and multiple zeta values, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **475** (2019), 1831–1841.
- [8] J. S. Dowker and K. Kirsten, The Barnes zeta function, sphere determinants and Glaisher-Kinkelin-Bendersky constants, *Anal. and Appl.* **03** (2005), 45–68.
- [9] M. Perkins and R. A. Van Gorder, Closed-form calculation of infinite products of Glaisher-type related to Dirichlet series, *Ramanujan J.* **49** (2019), 371–389.
- [10] W. Wang, Some asymptotic expansions on hyperfactorial functions and generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants, *Ramanujan J.* **43** (2017), 513–533.