Rationally connected threefolds with nef and bad anticanonical divisor

Zhixin Xie

Rationally connected threefolds with nef and bad anticanonical divisor

Zhixin Xie

Abstract

Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold with nef anticanonical divisor. We give a classification for the case when $-K_X$ is not semi-ample.

1 Introduction

Let X be a complex projective manifold. We say that X is a Fano manifold if the anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ is ample. The classification of three-dimensional Fano manifolds by Mori and Mukai (for $\rho > 1$, [MM82]) and by Iskovskih (for $\rho = 1$, [Isk77] [Isk78]) is one of the first achievements of the minimal model program with an impressive number of applications. Projective manifolds with nef anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ are a natural generalisation of Fano manifolds, but many of their most basic properties are completely unknown. Recently, Cao and Höring [CH19] have shown a decomposition theorem for these manifolds: the universal cover $\tilde{X}$ of X decomposes as a product

$$\tilde{X} \simeq \mathbb{C}^q \times \prod Y_j \times \prod S_k \times Z,$$

where Y_j are irreducible Calabi-Yau manifolds, S_k are irreducible hyperkähler manifolds, and Z is a rationally connected manifold.

In view of this result the most interesting case is when the manifold X is rationally connected, it is also the most difficult one. Recently Birkar [Bir18, Thm. 1.13] has announced a theorem stating that, birationally, there are only finitely deformation families of projective rationally connected threefolds with ϵ-lc singularities and nef but not numerically trivial anticanonical divisor. Thus it is in principle possible to classify these varieties as has been done for Fano threefolds. If the anticanonical divisor is semi-ample, then there is a standard approach to the classification via a discussion of the anticanonical map and Mori contractions.

For a nef divisor D on X, we call it good if its Iitaka dimension and its numerical dimension are equal, otherwise we call it bad. By a result of Kawamata [Kaw85, Thm. 6.1], if $-K_X$ is good then it is semi-ample. In this paper

we focus on the much more delicate case where $-K_X$ is nef but not semi-ample. Bauer and Peternell have shown in [BP04, Th. 1] that this implies that the nef dimension (see Definition 2.1) $n(-K_X) = 3$, the numerical dimension $\nu(-K_X) = 2$ and the Iitaka dimension $\kappa(-K_X) = 1$ (in fact they show that $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)) \geq 3$). It is thus natural to start the investigation with the base locus of the anticanonical divisor. We start with the case when the base locus has no divisorial part which is missing in [BP04, Prop. 7.2]. The first main theorem of this paper is the following effective classification result for this case and we will give some examples in the first part of the paper (Section 3):

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold X with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Suppose that the anticanonical system has no fixed part. Then $-K_X$ is divisible by 2 in $Pic(X)$ and X is one of the following:*

(1) *$X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is a del Pezzo fibration with general fiber $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})$ is an element of the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(2) + 4F|$, where F is a general fiber of $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{E}$ is a rank 4 vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ and*

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1).$$

(2) *$X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth rational surface Y with $-K_Y$ nef, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a nef rank 2 vector bundle with $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = -K_Y$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = K_Y^2$, given by an extension*

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y) \to 0,$$

where $\mathcal{I}_Z$ is the ideal sheaf of $c_2(\mathcal{E})$ points on Y.

(3) *$X = Bl_p(Y)$ is the blow-up in a point p of a smooth almost del Pezzo threefold Y of degree 1 such that p is not the base point of $|-\frac{1}{2}K_Y|$.*

For the case (3) of the theorem above, this kind of varieties also appear in [LO16, Sect. 2] where an explicit and pathological example is constructed. For a complete classification list of smooth almost del Pezzo threefolds of degree 1, we refer to [JP08]. Hence the smooth threefolds with nef and not semi-ample anticanonical divisor whose base locus has no divisorial part are completely classified.

The second part of the paper (Section 4) deals with the case when the base locus has a divisorial part. It turns out, that after a sequence of flops, one can assume that the mobile part is always nef. We will exclude the case when the mobile part has base locus of codimension 2 and show the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold X with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Suppose that the anticanonical system has non-empty fixed part. Then there exists a finite sequence of flops $\psi : X \dashrightarrow X'$ such that the following holds:*

- *X' is smooth,*

2

- $-K_{X'}$ is nef,

- the mobile part $|B'|$ of $|-K_{X'}|$ is nef.

In this case, $B'^2 = 0$ and $|B'|$ is base-point-free. It induces a fibration $f : X' \to \mathbb{P}^1$.

Back to the problem of birational boundedness for the family of smooth projective rationally connected threefolds with nef (and not semi-ample) anti-canonical divisor, we deduce the boundedness from the Theorem 1.1 (and the Proposition 3.4) for the case when the anticanonical system has no fixed part. As for the case when there is a non-empty fixed part, the boundedness does not follow from the Theorem 1.2. However we expect a complete classification for this case as in the previous one. In the case of Theorem 1.2, the structure of X' can be complicated. Examples of such X' which are not isomorphic to a product can be found when the general fiber of f is $\mathbb{P}^2$ blown-up in 9 points such that the unique element in the anticanonical system is a smooth elliptic curve, we refer to the author's upcoming thesis.

We give now a sketch of proof of Theorem 1.2. The idea is to argue by contradiction and suppose that the case where the mobile part of the anticanonical system has base locus of codimension two exists. We first give a description of the anticanonical system in this case:

Proposition 1.3. *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold X with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Suppose that the anticanonical system has non-empty fixed part and that the mobile part $|B|$ is nef. If $B^2 \neq 0$, then $|-K_X| = A + |2H|$ where H is a prime divisor. Let F be a general member in $|H|$. Then both A and F are $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over a smooth elliptic curve, such that their anticanonical divisors $-K_A$ (resp. $-K_F$) are nef and divisible by 2 in $Pic(A)$ (resp. $NS(F)$). Furthermore, both $A.F$ and F^2 are smooth elliptic curves.*

By running the minimal model program, with the classification of contractions by Mori for smooth threefolds [Mor82], we show in this case:

Proposition 1.4. *In the setting of the Proposition 1.3, there exists a finite sequence*

$$X = X_0 \overset{\varphi_1}{\to} X_1 \overset{\varphi_2}{\to} \cdots \overset{\varphi_k}{\to} X_k \overset{\varphi_{k+1}}{\to} Y$$

with $k = 0$ or 1, where X_i is a smooth threefold with $-K_{X_i}$ nef such that $|-K_{X_i}|$ has non-empty fixed part, φ_i is a blow-up along a smooth elliptic curve and Y is a smooth threefold with $-K_Y$ nef, $n(-K_Y) = 3$, $\nu(-K_Y) = 2$ such that $|-K_Y| = |2G|$ has no fixed part and a general member in $|G|$ is isomorphic to F.

The threefold Y is classified in the Theorem 1.1. Comparing the general member of $|-\frac{1}{2}K_Y|$ in the Theorem 1.1 with the geometry of the surface F will then lead to a contradiction.

2 Preliminaries

Notation.*[Laz04, Def. 2.1.3, Remark. 2.3.17] Let X be a normal projective variety and D an $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on X. We note*

- *$\kappa(D)$ the Iitaka (Kodaira) dimension of D.*

- *$\nu(D) := \max \{n \mid D^n \not\equiv 0\}$ the numerical dimension of D when D is nef.*

Theorem-Definition 2.1. *[BCE$^+$02, Thm. 2.1] Let L be a nef line bundle on a normal projective variety X. Then there exists an almost holomorphic dominant meromorphic map $f : X \dashrightarrow B$ with connected fibers such that*

1. *L is numerically trivial on all compact fibers F of f of dimension $\dim X - \dim B$*

2. *for a general point $x \in X$ and every irreducible curve C passing through x such that $\dim f(C) > 0$, we have $L.C > 0$.*

The map f is unique up to birational equivalence of B. In particular $\dim B$ is an invariant of L and we set $n(L) = \dim B$, the nef dimension of L.

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a normal projective $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial variety with $-K_X$ nef. Let D be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor such that the pair (X, D) is log canonical. If D is not nef, then there exists a $(K_X + D)$-negative extremal ray Γ such that $D.\Gamma < 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that there is no such extremal ray. Since D is not nef, there exists an irreducible curve $l \subset X$ such that $D.l < 0$. Then we can write

$$l = \sum_i \lambda_i \Gamma_i + R,$$

where

- $\lambda_i \geq 0$;

- Γ_i are $(K_X + D)$-negative extremal rays. By assumption they all satisfy $D.\Gamma_i \geq 0$;

- $(K_X + D).R \geq 0$.

4

Therefore,

$$0 > D.l = \sum_i \lambda_i D.\Gamma_i + D.R \ge D.R,$$

i.e. $D.R < 0$.

Since $(K_X + D).R \ge 0$, we have

$$K_X.R \ge -D.R > 0,$$

which contradicts the fact that $-K_X$ is nef. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 2.3. *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold. Let D be a divisor with $\kappa(D) = 1$. Suppose that the linear system $|D|$ has no fixed part and the general member in $|D|$ is reducible. Then a general member in $|D|$ is linearly equivalent to mH, where H is a prime divisor and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \ge 2$. Furthermore, $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) = 2$ and $h^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(H)) = 1$.*

Proof. Let $\phi : X \dashrightarrow C$ be the rational map determined by the linear system $|D|$. Then $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ as $\kappa(D) = 1$ and $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.

Let $\mu : \tilde{X} \to X$ be a birational modification which resolves the base locus of $|D|$. Let F be a general fiber of the induced morphism $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{X} \to C$. Since $|D|$ has no fixed part, the pushforward $\mu_*(F)$ is a general member of $|D|$. Furthermore, the general fiber F is not connected as the general member in $|D|$ is reducible.

Let $\tilde{\phi}' : \tilde{X} \to C'$ be the Stein factorization of the morphism $\tilde{\phi}$ and $\nu : C' \to C$. Then $\tilde{\phi}'$ has smooth connected general fiber and $C' \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ as $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.

For a point $p \in C$, we have

$$\nu^*(p) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m)$$

with $m \ge 2$ the number of connected components of $\tilde{\phi}^*(p)$ and thus

$$\tilde{\phi}^*(p) = \tilde{\phi}'^*(\nu^*(p)) \simeq \tilde{\phi}'^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m)).$$

Let F' be a general fiber of $\tilde{\phi}' : \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $F \sim mF'$ and thus a general member in $|D|$ is linearly equivalent to mH where $H := \mu_*(F')$. Hence

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) = h^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) = 2.$$

Now the exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X(H) \to \mathcal{O}_H(H) \to 0$$

gives $h^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(H)) = 1$. $\qquad\square$

For the rest of the paper, we consider X to be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$ and $\nu(-K_X) = 2$.

By [BP04, Thm. 2.1], the condition of $-K_X$ satisfying $n(-K_X) = 3$ and $\nu(-K_X) = 2$ is equivalent to $\nu(-K_X) = 2$ and $\kappa(-K_X) = 1$. The latter one is more useful since it is in practice easier to compute the Iitaka dimension than the nef dimension.

We note that by Riemann-Roch theorem, $\chi(-K_X) = 3$ since $K_X^3 = 0$ and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ as X is rationally connected. Moreover, as $-K_X$ is nef and $\nu(-K_X) = 2$, by Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem [Kaw82, Corollary], one has $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(2K_X)) = 0$. Hence we deduce that

$$H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)) = 0.$$

by Serre duality. Therefore,

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)) \geq 3.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold with anticanonical bundle $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$ and $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Let $|B|$ be the mobile part of the anticanonical system $|-K_X|$ and D a general member in $|B|$. Then D has at least two irreducible components.*

Proof. We can write $|-K_X| = A + |B|$ with A the fixed part (which can be empty) and $|B|$ the mobile part. For a general member D of $|B|$, we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X - D) \to \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X) \to \mathcal{O}_D(-K_X) \to 0.$$

Since

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X - D)) = h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(A)) = 1,$$

together with $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)) \geq 3$, we have $h^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-K_X)) \geq 2$.

Now suppose by contradiction that D is irreducible. Let $\nu : \overline{D} \to D$ be the normalization of the surface D. Then for the pullback of the Cartier divisor $-K_X|_D$, we have

$$h^0(\overline{D}, \nu^*(-K_X|_D)) \geq h^0(D, -K_X|_D) \geq 2.$$

Hence the linear system $|\nu^*(-K_X|_D)|$ on $\overline{D}$ has a mobile part M. On the other hand, since $-K_X$ is nef and $(-K_X)^3 = 0$, one has $(-K_X)^2.D = 0$, i.e. $(-K_X|_D)^2 = 0$. Since $\nu^*(-K_X|_D)$ is nef and $\nu^*(-K_X|_D)^2 = (-K_X|_D)^2 = 0$, we deduce that

$$\nu^*(-K_X|_D).M = 0.$$

Therefore, $\overline{D}$ is covered by $\nu^*(-K_X|_D)$-trivial curves, from which we deduce that D is covered by $(-K_X)$-trivial curves. As D moves, this contradicts the fact that $n(-K_X) = 3$. $\square$

Now the lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 give the following:

Corollary 2.5. *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold with anticanonical bundle $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$ and $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Let $|B|$ be the mobile part of the anticanonical system $|-K_X|$. Then*

$$B \sim mH,$$

where $m \geq 2$ and H is a prime divisor such that $h^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(-K_X)) = 1$, $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) = 2$ and $h^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(H)) = 1$.

Proof. It remains to show that $h^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(-K_X)) = 1$. By contradiction, suppose that $h^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(-K_X)) \geq 2$. In the Lemma 2.4, we may repeat the same argument in the second part of the proof with H playing the role of D, then the argument following from the normalization of the surface leads to a contradiction. $\qquad\square$

3   Anticanonical system without fixed part

In this section, we consider the following setup:

Setup 3.1. *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold with anticanonical bundle $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$ and $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. We suppose that the anticanonical system $|-K_X|$ has no fixed part, so that by the Corollary 2.5 we can write*

$$-K_X \sim mH$$

with $m \geq 2$ and H some prime divisor.

3.1   Running the minimal model program

We consider a Mori contraction $\varphi : X \to Y$.

3.1.1   Del Pezzo fibrations

Proposition 3.2. *In the setup of 3.1, suppose that there exists a Mori contraction $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $X \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(2) + 4F|$, where F is a general fiber of $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathbb{P}^1$, and*

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1).$$

Proof.   Since $-K_X$ is divisible by $m \geq 2$ in $Pic(X)$, we deduce from the classification of Mori-Mukai [MM83, Sect. 3] that $m = 2$ or 3.

1. If $m = 3$, then φ is a $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle and we can write $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ where $\mathcal{E}$ is a vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ of rank 3. Denote the tautological line bundle by $\xi := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$. Then the Grothendieck relation gives

$$\xi^3 - \xi^2.\varphi^*(c_1(\mathcal{E})) = 0.$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-K_X)^3 &= (3\xi + \varphi^*(-K_{\mathbb{P}^1} - c_1(\mathcal{E})))^3 \\
&= 27\xi^3 + 27\xi^2.\varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) - c_1(\mathcal{E})) \\
&= 27\xi^2\varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)) \\
&= 54
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts the fact that $K_X^3 = 0$.

2. Consider the case when $m = 2$. Then $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is a quadric bundle with general fiber $F_X \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and every fiber is a smooth quadric or a quadric cone in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Define $\mathcal{E} := \varphi_*(\mathcal{O}_X(H))$ which is a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$ of rank

$$r = h^0(F_X, H|_{F_X}) = h^0(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1,1)) = 4.$$

Now the morphism $\varphi^*\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{O}_X(H)$ is surjective as it is the evaluation map on each fiber and the restriction of H on each fiber is base-point-free. Hence it gives an embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)|_X$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\varphi = \pi|_X$.

We write $\mathcal{E} = \oplus_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)$ with $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \geq a_4$. Denote the tautological line bundle by $\xi := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ and the general fiber of π by F. Since

$$K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})} = -4\xi + \pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^1} + c_1(\mathcal{E}))$$

and $K_X = -2\xi|_X$, we deduce from the adjunction formula that

$$X \in |2\xi + \alpha F|$$

with $\alpha = -c_1(\mathcal{E}) + 2$, because the morphism $Pic(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) \to Pic(X)$ is injective (indeed $Pic(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and both ξ and F are non-trivial and linearly independent on X).

On the other hand, by the Grothendieck relation, we have

$$\xi^4 - \xi^3.\pi^*(c_1(\mathcal{E})) = 0.$$

Hence
$$0 = H^3 = (\xi|_X)^3 = \xi^3.(2\xi + \alpha F) = 2c_1(\mathcal{E}) + \alpha.$$

Therefore, $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = -2$ and $\alpha = 4$.

Since $h^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{E}) = h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) = 2$ by the Corollary 2.5, there are the two following possibilities: either

$$a_1 = 1, a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = -1,$$

or

$$a_1 = a_2 = 0, a_3 = a_4 = -1.$$

Now suppose that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 3}$. Then $Bs|\xi| = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 3}) =: D_0$ and $\xi = D_0 + F$.

Since $H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(\xi - X)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(-\xi - 4F)) = 0$, we deduce from the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(\xi - X) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(\xi) \to \mathcal{O}_X(\xi) \to 0$$

that the restriction morphism $H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(\xi)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H))$ is injective, hence surjective as $h^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(\xi)) = h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H))$.

8

Therefore, when we restrict the base locus D_0 of $|\xi|$ to X, we have

$$D_0 \cap X \subset Bs|H|.$$

But this implies that the base locus of $|H|$ on X has a divisorial part, which contradicts the fact that $|H|$ is mobile on X. $\qquad\square$

Remark 3.3. *In the setting of the Proposition 3.2, $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is a quadric bundle with general fiber $F_X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $|-K_X| = |2H|$. Let D be a general member of $|H|$, then $\mathcal{O}_{F_X}(D) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1,1)$. Hence a general fiber of $\varphi : D \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is either isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ or two $\mathbb{P}^1$'s intersecting transversally at one point.*

3.1.2 Conic bundles

Proposition 3.4. *In the setup of 3.1, suppose that there exists a Mori contraction $\varphi : X \to Y$ to a surface Y. Then $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over Y with $-K_Y$ nef, $\mathcal{E}$ is a nef rank 2 vector bundle with $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = -K_Y$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = K_Y^2$, given by an extension*

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y) \to 0,$$

where $\mathcal{I}_Z$ is the ideal sheaf of $c_2(\mathcal{E})$ points on Y. Furthermore, the set of such X forms a bounded family.

Proof. By the classification of Mori-Mukai [MM83, Sect. 3], φ is a conic bundle and Y is a smooth rational surface. Since $-K_X$ is divisible by $m \geq 2$ in $Pic(X)$, we deduce from the classification that $m = 2$ and φ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle. By [DPS93, Prop. 3.1], the anticanonical bundle $-K_Y$ is nef. Let $d := (-K_Y)^2$, we have thus $0 \leq d \leq 9$ and Y is either $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or $\mathbb{P}^2$ blown up in $(9-d)$ points.

We write $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ with $\mathcal{E} = \varphi_*(\mathcal{O}_X(H))$. Then $H = \xi := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$. As $-K_X = 2H$ and

$$-K_X = \varphi^*(-K_Y - det(\mathcal{E})) + 2\xi,$$

one has $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = det(\mathcal{E}) = -K_Y$.

On the other hand, since $(-K_X)^3 = 0$, one has

$$0 = \xi^3 = c_1^2(\mathcal{E}) - c_2(\mathcal{E}),$$

from which we deduce $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = K_Y^2 = d$.

Claim: $\mathcal{E}$ has a section which vanishes in codimension at least 2.

Suppose by contradiction that every non-zero section in $H^0(Y, \mathcal{E})$ vanishes in codimension 1. Let $s \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{E})$ be a non-zero section and H_s the element in $|H|$ associated to s. Let D be the one-dimensional components of the vanishing locus of s taken with multiplicity. Now consider the vector bundle $\mathcal{E}' := \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-D)$. Then it has a non-zero section $s' \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{E}')$ which vanishes in codimension at least 2. We denote the element associated to s' in $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}')}(1)|$ by $H_{s'}$. Then one has an isomorphism $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}')$ under which $H_{s'}$ corresponds to $H_s \otimes \varphi^*(-D)$.

Hence there exists an effective divisor R on X (which corresponds to $H_{s'}$) such that
$$H_s = \varphi^*(D) + R.$$

Notice that R is non-zero as the restriction of H_s to a general fiber is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. Since this holds for every non-zero section $s \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{E})$, it contradicts the fact that H is irreducible and reduced. This proves the claim.

Therefore, following [Brî96, Sect. 4.1, p. 85–87], we have an exact sequence

$$(*) \qquad 0 \to \mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y) \to 0,$$

where Z is the zero locus of a general section of $\mathcal{E}$ with length $l(Z) = c_2(\mathcal{E}) = d$.

If $d = 0$, then we have $Z = \emptyset$ and $(*)$ must split as

$$Ext^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y), \mathcal{O}_Y) \simeq H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y)) \simeq H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0,$$

thus $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y)$. Consider the case when $d > 0$. For a fixed smooth rational surface Y such that $-K_Y$ is nef, Z is a finite subscheme of length $d = K_Y^2$ on Y. Hence it is parameterized by the Hilbert scheme $Y^{[d]}$. Furthermore, the extensions $(*)$ are parameterized by the vector space $Ext^1(\mathcal{I}_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y), \mathcal{O}_Y)$ of finite dimension. Therefore, the set of varieties $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ is a vector bundle of rank 2 over Y satisfying $(*)$ forms a bounded family.

Now since the set of smooth rational surfaces Y with $-K_Y$ nef forms a bounded family, we deduce that the set of such $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ forms a bounded family as well. $\qquad\square$

Remark 3.5. *In the setting of the Proposition 3.4, one has $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ where $\mathcal{E}$ is a rank 2 vector bundle on the surface Y and $-K_X = 2H$, where H is the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$.*

Let D be a general member in $|H|$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is given by the short exact sequence $(*)$, one has
$$D = Bl_Z(Y).$$

Example 3.6. Let S be $\mathbb{P}^2$ blown up in 9 points in sufficiently general position such that $-K_S$ is nef and not semi-ample. Then there exists a unique element $D \in |-K_S|$. We have $\kappa(-K_S) = 0$ and $K_S^2 = 0$.

Now define $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S)$ and $\pi : X := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \to S$. Thus $\mathcal{E}$ is nef and $-K_X = 2\xi$, where $\xi := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$, is nef. Furthermore, we have $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = D$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 0$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-nK_X)) = h^0(S, S^{2n}(\mathcal{E})) = 2n + 1.$$

Hence $\kappa(-K_X) = 1$.

Now we consider the sections associated to π. Notice that for any extension $0 \to L \to \mathcal{E} \to Q \to 0$ where L and Q are line bundles on S, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Q) = \xi - \pi^*(L).$$

Hence there are two types of sections: either it corresponds to the quotient $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S) \to 0$ and thus gives an element $D_1 \simeq S$ such that $D_1 \in |\xi|$, or it corresponds to the quotient $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{O}_S \to 0$ and thus gives an element $D_2 \simeq S$ such that $D_2 \in |\xi - \pi^* D|$. Therefore, there are two types of elements in $|\xi|$: one of the form D_1 and the other of the form $D_2 + \pi^* D$, where D_1 and D_2 are two disjoint sections of π.

Since $D_1 \in |\xi|$ moves, D_1^2 is an effective 1-cycle. By the Grothendieck relation, one has $\xi^2 - \xi.\pi^* c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$. Hence $\xi^2 = D_1^2 = D_1.\pi^* D$ is a non-zero effective 1-cycle isomorphic to D. Furthermore,

$$\xi^3 = \xi.(\pi^* D)^2 = 0,$$

as $D^2 = 0$. Therefore, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$.

3.1.3   Birational contractions

Proposition 3.7. *In the setup of 3.1, suppose that there exists a birational Mori contraction $\varphi : X \to Y$. Then $-K_X$ is divisible by 2 in $Pic(X)$, Y is a smooth almost del Pezzo threefold of degree 1 and φ is the blow-up of a point $p \in Y$. Furthermore, if we write $-K_Y = 2H_Y$, then $p \notin Bs|H_Y|$.*

Proof. Since $-K_X$ is divisible by $m \geq 2$, by the classification of Mori-Mukai contractions on smooth threefolds [MM83, Sect. 3], one has $m = 2$ and φ is the blow-up of a smooth point p on Y with exceptional divisor $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathcal{O}_E(E) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)$. Hence $-K_Y$ is nef by [DPS93, Prop. 3.3] and

$$(-K_Y)^3 = (-K_X)^3 + (2E)^3 = 8,$$

i.e. $-K_Y$ is big.

On the other hand,

$$-K_Y = \varphi_*(-K_X) = 2\varphi_*(H) =: 2H_Y$$

with $H_Y \in Pic(Y)$. Then H_Y is nef and big with $(H_Y)^3 = 1$. We conclude that Y is an almost del Pezzo threefold of degree 1 and the base scheme of $|H_Y|$ is one point by [JP08, Sect. 2].

If p is the base point of $|H_Y|$, then $Bs|H| = \emptyset$ since the base scheme of $|H_Y|$ is one point. This is absurd because $|-K_X|$ is not semi-ample. $\qquad\square$

Remark 3.8. *In the setting of the Proposition 3.7, let $D \in |H|$ be a general member and E the exceptional divisor of φ, one has*

$$K_E = (K_X + E)|_E = (-2D + E)|_E$$

by the adjunction formula.

Since D moves, $D.E$ is an effective 1-cycle. We deduce from $\mathcal{O}_E(E) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)$ that $D.E = l$, where l is a line on $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$.

On the surface D, one has

$$l^2 = (E|_D)^2 = D.E^2 = D|_E.E|_E = -1$$

and

$$K_D.l = (K_X + D)|_D.l = -D.l = -1.$$

Hence l is a (-1)-curve on D.

Example 3.9. Let Z be a smooth del Pezzo threefold of degree 2, i.e. a double covering of $\mathbb{P}^3$ ramified in a quartic surface. Let Y be the blow-up of a general point on Z. Then Y is almost Fano by [JP08, Prop. 4.4]. Hence Y is an almost del Pezzo threefold of degree 1.

We have

$$-K_Y = 2H_Y$$

with $H_Y \in Pic(Y)$ nef and big. Moreover, a general member $D_Y \in |H_Y|$ is smooth, and the base locus of $|H_Y|$ is one point.

By the Riemann-Roch theorem and $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(H_Y)) = 0$ for $i > 0$, we get

$$h^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(H_Y)) = (H_Y)^3 + 2 = 3.$$

Now fix a general member $D_Y \in |-K_Y|$. By the adjunction formula, one has

$$-K_{D_Y} = (-K_Y - D_Y)|_{D_Y} = H_Y|_{D_Y}.$$

Then $-K_{D_Y}$ is nef and $(-K_{D_Y})^2 = 1$. Hence, D_Y is $\mathbb{P}^2$ blown-up in 8 points in almost general position. Therefore, we can choose a sufficiently general point $p \in D_Y$ such that $Bl_p(D_Y)$ has nef and not semi-ample anticanonical divisor.

Let $\mu : X \to Y$ be the blow-up of Y at the point p. Then

$$-K_X = \mu^*(-K_Y) - 2E = 2H$$

with E the exceptional divisor and $H := \mu^*(H_Y) - E$.

Let $D = Bl_p(D_Y)$ be the strict transform of D_Y, then $D \in |H|$. Since $H|_D = -K_D$ is nef, we deduce that H and hence also $-K_X$ are nef. Moreover, as $-K_D$ is not semi-ample, we have $\kappa(D, -K_D) = 0$ and $\nu(D, -K_D) = 1$ which implies $\nu(X, -K_X) = 2$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 1$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X((n-1)H) \to \mathcal{O}_X(nH) \to \mathcal{O}_D(nH) \to 0.$$

Since $\kappa(D, -K_D) = 0$, we have $h^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(nH)) = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Hence

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nH)) \leq h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X((n-1)H)) + 1,$$

from which we deduce that $\kappa(X, H) = 1$. Therefore, $\kappa(X, -K_X) = 1$ and $-K_X$ is not semi-ample.

4 Anticanonical system with non-empty fixed part

We consider the case when the anticanonical system $|-K_X|$ has a non-empty fixed part, we can write $|-K_X| = A + |B|$ with A the fixed part and $|B|$ the mobile part. By the Corollary 2.5, we have $|B| = |mH|$ where $m \geq 2$ and H is some prime divisor.

Proposition 4.1. *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. If the anticanonical system*

$$|-K_X| = A + |mH|, \quad m \geq 2$$

has non-empty fixed part A, then there exists a finite sequence of flops $\psi : X \dashrightarrow X'$ such that X' is smooth with $-K_{X'}$ nef and $H' := \psi_*(H)$ is nef.

Proof. Fix a general member $F \in |H|$. Since X is smooth, for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, the pair $(X, \epsilon F)$ is log-canonical. It follows from the Lemma 2.2 that if F is not nef, then there exists a $(K_X + \epsilon F)$-negative extremal ray Γ such that $\epsilon F.\Gamma < 0$. Let c_Γ be the contraction of the extremal ray Γ and l a contracted curve. Thus $F.l < 0$, which implies $l \subset Bs(|H|)$. But $|H|$ is mobile, it follows that c_Γ is small. This implies that $K_X.l = 0$ since there is no flipping contraction for smooth threefolds. Hence there exists a flop of c_Γ and the flopped threefold X^+ is smooth by [Kol89, Thm. 2.4].

By repeating the above argument and the termination of three-dimensional flops [KM98, Cor. 6.19], we deduce that there exists a sequence of flops $\psi : X \dashrightarrow X'$ such that $H' := \psi_*(H)$ is nef. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *In the setting of Proposition 4.1, if H is nef, then*

$$A^3 = A^2.H = A.H^2 = H^3 = 0.$$

Proof. As $-K_X$ is nef, one has $K_X^2.A \geq 0$ and $K_X^2.H \geq 0$. Then

$$0 = (-K_X)^3 = K_X^2.(A + mH)$$

gives $K_X^2.A = K_X^2.H = 0$. From this we further conclude that

$$0 = -K_X.(A + mH).H = -K_X.(A.H + mH^2).$$

Since H moves, $A.H$ and H^2 are effectives cycles, which implies that

$$-K_X.A.H = -K_X.H^2 = 0.$$

Hence, $A^2.H + mA.H^2 = 0$ and $A.H^2 + mH^3 = 0$. As H is nef, $A.H$ and H^2 are effective cycle, we deduce that

$$A.H^2 = H^3 = 0.$$

This implies $A^2.H = 0$. Together with $K_X^2.A = 0$, we conclude that $A^3 = 0$. $\square$

After performing possibly a sequence of flops, the mobile part $|B| = |mH|$ of the anticanonical system $|-K_X|$ becomes nef. In this case, either $B^2 = 0$ and we are in the case described in [BP04, Prop. 7.2], or B^2 is a non-zero effective 1-cycle which is the case we will study in the rest of the section.

4.1 Description of the anticanonical system

Proposition 4.3. *Consider as above a smooth projective rationally connected threefold X with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Suppose that the anticanonical system $|-K_X| = A + |mH|$, $m \geq 2$ has non-empty fixed part A, and H is nef such that H^2 is a non-zero effective 1-cycle. Let F be a general member of $|H|$. Then $-K_F$ is nef, effective and divisible by $r \geq 2$ in $NS(F)$. Furthermore, $\kappa(F, -K_F) = 0$, $K_F^2 = 0$ and F is not covered by $(-K_F)$-trivial curves.*

Proof. By the adjunction formula, we have

$$-K_F = -(K_X + F)|_F = A|_F + (m-1)F|_F.$$

As F is nef, it suffices to show that $A|_F$ is nef: suppose that there exists an irreducible curve $l \subset F$ such that $A|_F.l < 0$. Then l is an irreducible component of the effective cycle $C := A|_F$. On the other hand, F is nef and $F.C = 0$ as $A.F^2 = 0$, from which we deduce that $F.l = 0$. Hence

$$-K_X.l = A.l + mF.l = A.l < 0,$$

which contradicts the fact that $-K_X$ is nef. Therefore, the restriction $A|_F$ is nef.

We note that $A|_F$ cannot be zero: since $-K_X$ is nef with numerical dimension two, the support of a divisor $D \in |-K_X|$ is connected in codimension one by [Sha99, Lem. 2.3.9].

Now let $\nu : \tilde{F} \to F$ be a desingularization of the surface F. Since $A|_F$ and $F|_F$ are nef Cartier divisors such that $A|_F.F|_F = 0$, their pullbacks to the desingularization $\tilde{F}$ remain nef and orthogonal to each other. Let

$$V := <\nu^*(A|_F), \nu^*(F|_F)> \subset NS(\tilde{F}).$$

Let H be an ample divisor on $\tilde{F}$, then $NS(\tilde{F}) = \mathbb{R}H \oplus (\mathbb{R}H)^\perp$. If $\dim V \geq 2$, then $\dim (V \cap (\mathbb{R}H)^\perp) \geq 1$. Hence there exists $v \in V \cap (\mathbb{R}H)^\perp$ which is non zero, and $v^2 < 0$ by the Hodge index theorem. But $v = \lambda\nu^*(A|_F) + \mu\nu^*(F|_F)$ with $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, which implies $v^2 \geq 0$. This is absurd. Hence $\dim V = 1$, i.e. $\nu^*(A|_F)$ and $\nu^*(F|_F)$ are non-zero and numerically proportional. Hence $-K_F$ is divisible by $r \geq 2$ with $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

The surface F is not covered by $(-K_F)$-trivial curves: otherwise, F is covered by $(-K_X)$-trivial curves as $-K_F = -K_X|_F - F|_F$ and $-K_F$ is numerically proportional to $F|_F$. As F moves in X, this implies that X is covered by $(-K_X)$-trivial curves. This is absurd because $n(-K_X) = 3$.

Furthermore, as $A^2.F = A.F^2 = F^3 = 0$, we have $K_F^2 = 0$.

It remains to show that $\kappa(F, -K_F) = 0$. Indeed, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$1 \leq h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(-nK_F)) \leq h^0(\tilde{F}, \nu^*(-nK_F)).$$

If $h^0(\tilde{F}, \nu^*(-nK_F)) \geq 2$ for some n, then the linear system $|\nu^*(-nK_F)|$ has some non-zero mobile part M on $\tilde{F}$, and $\nu^*(-K_F).M = 0$ as $(-K_F)^2 = 0$ and

14

$-K_F$ is nef. Hence $\tilde{F}$ is covered by $\nu^*(-K_F)$-trivial curves, from which we deduce that F is covered by $(-K_F)$-trivial curves. This is absurd.

$\square$

In order to get a more precise description on the geometric structure of A and F, we need the two following lemmas:

Lemma 4.4. *Let S be a projective Gorenstein surface such that the anticanonical divisor $-K_S$ is of the following form:*

$$-K_S = D_1 + D_2,$$

where D_1 is effective, D_2 is a non-zero effective Cartier divisor which is nef and divisible by $r \geq 2$ in $NS(S)$.

Suppose that $D_2^2 = 0$ and that one of the following assertions holds:

(i) S is not covered by D_2-trivial curves;

(ii) D_2 contains a smooth curve of positive genus.

Then $D_1 = 0$ and S is normal with at most rational singularities. Furthermore, the surface $\tilde{S}$ obtained by the minimal resolution of S is relatively minimal.

Proof. Special case: Assume that S is smooth. Suppose by contradiction that D_1 is not zero. Since D_2 is divisible by $r \geq 2$, we put $rL :\equiv_{num} D_2$, with L nef and $L^2 = 0$. Then

$$-(K_S + rL) = D_1$$

is effective. We deduce that the adjoint bundle $K_S + rL$ is not nef.

Now for every irreducible reduced curve $l \subset S$ such that $(K_S + rL).l < 0$, one has $K_S.l < 0$ since L is nef. Then by the cone theorem, there exists a K_S-negative extremal ray R which is $(K_S + rL)$-negative. We denote the contraction of the extremal ray R by $\phi : S \to Z$.

1. If dim $Z = 1$, then $\phi : S \to Z$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth algebraic curve Z. Let f be a fiber of ϕ, then $f^2 = 0$ and $(K_S + rL).f < 0$.

   Since $K_S.f = -2$ by the adjunction formula, together with $L.f \geq 0$ and $r \geq 2$, we have $L.f = 0$.

2. If Z is a point, then $S = \mathbb{P}^2$. But L is nef, not ample and not numerically trivial, this is absurd.

If ϕ is birational, let $l \in R$ be an integral contracted curve, then l is actually a (-1)-curve since we contract a K_S-negative extremal ray. Hence $L.l = 0$ as $(K_S + rL).l < 0$ and L is nef.

Now we put $L' := \phi_*(L)$ and $D_1' := \phi_*(D_1)$. Then

$$-K_Z = rL' + D_1'.$$

Since $L.l = 0$, we know by the contraction theorem that $L \simeq \phi^*(L')$. Hence L' is nef and $L'^2 = 0$.

Notice that the two assertions in the lemma are preserved by the contraction ϕ. More precisely,

(i) if Z is covered by $\phi_*(D_2)$-trivial curves, then S is covered by D_2-trivial curves as $L = \phi^*(L')$;

(ii) $\phi_*(D_2)$ contains a curve of positive genus, as ϕ does not contract any curve of positive genus.

Moreover, Z cannot be a minimal surface. Indeed if K_Z is nef, then

$$K_S + rL = \phi^*(K_Z) + C + rL$$

is pseudo-effective. Therefore, $K_S + rL$ is zero as it is anti-effective. This is absurd because D_1 is not zero.

Therefore, by running a $(K_S + rL)$-minimal model program, we can suppose that S is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle as described in the first case above. Now we show that this will lead to a contradiction:

(i) We first consider the case when S is not covered by D_2-trivial curves: since $L.f = 0$ for every fiber f of ϕ, the surface S is covered by L-trivial curves. Hence S is covered by D_2-trivial curve, which is absurd.

(ii) For the case when D_2 contains a smooth curve of positive genus: since

$$D_2.f = rL.f = 0$$

for a general fiber f of ϕ, D_2 is contained in some special fiber of the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle. This is absurd because D_2 contains a curve of positive genus.

Therefore, $D_1 = 0$. Furthermore, since $-K_S = D_2$ is divisible by $r \geq 2$, the surface S does not contain any (-1)-curve, i.e. S is relatively minimal.

General case: Let $\nu : \overline{S} \to S$ be the normalization of S and $\mu : \tilde{S} \to \overline{S}$ the minimal resolution of $\overline{S}$. We put $\pi := \nu \circ \mu : \tilde{S} \to S$. Computing the anticanonical bundles we get

$$-K_{\overline{S}} = \nu^*(-K_S) + E_1$$

with some effective Weil divisor E_1 supported on the zero locus of the conductor ideal and

$$-K_{\tilde{S}} = \pi^*(-K_S) + \tilde{E}_1 + E_2$$

with $\tilde{E}_1$ the proper transform of E_1 in $\tilde{S}$ and E_2 some effective divisor supported on the exceptional locus.

Now $\tilde{S}$ is a smooth surface such that

$$-K_{\tilde{S}} = \tilde{D}_1 + \tilde{D}_2$$

with $\tilde{D}_1 := \tilde{E}_1 + E_2 + \pi^*(D_1)$ effective divisor, $\tilde{D}_2 := \pi^*(D_2)$ non-zero, effective, nef and divisible by $r \geq 2$.

Furthermore, one has $\tilde{D}_2^2 = D_2^2 = 0$ and $\tilde{D}_2$ satisfies one of the two assertions in the lemma if D_2 does:

16

(i) if $\tilde{S}$ is covered by $\pi^*(D_2)$-trivial curves, then S is covered by D_2-trivial curves;

(ii) $\pi^*(D_2)$ contains a smooth curve of positive genus which surjects to the one contained in D_2.

Hence by the previous smooth case, we deduce that $\tilde{D}_1 = 0$. This implies that $D_1 = 0$ and S is normal as it is Cohen-Macaulay, with at worst rational singularities.

Let $\mu : \tilde{S} \to S$ be the minimal resolution of S. Then $-K_{\tilde{S}} = \mu^*(-K_S) = \mu^*(D_2)$ is divisible by $r \geq 2$. Thus $\tilde{S}$ does not contain any (-1)-curve, i.e. $\tilde{S}$ is relatively minimal. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 4.5. *([BP04], Proposition 1.5, Proposition 1.6) Let S be a smooth projective surface with $-K_S$ nef and $\nu(-K_S) = 1$. Then S is one of the following:*

1. *$n(-K_S) = 1$: S admits an elliptic fibration and $-K_S$ is semi-ample;*

2. *$n(-K_S) = 2$: we have $\kappa(-K_S) = 0$ and either*

    (A) *S is $\mathbb{P}^2$ blown up in 9 points in sufficiently general position or*

    (B) *$S = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ with $\mathcal{E}$ a rank 2 vector bundle over an elliptic curve which is defined by an extension*

    $$0 \to \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{L} \to 0$$

    with $\mathcal{L}$ a line bundle of degree 0 and either

    (i) *$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}$ and the extension is non-split or*

    (ii) *$\mathcal{L}$ is not torsion.*

    The structure of the unique element D in $|-K_S|$ is as follows:

    (i) *$D = 2C$ and C is a smooth elliptic curve.*

    (ii) *$D = C_1 + C_2$ where C_1 and C_2 are smooth elliptic curves which do not meet.*

Corollary 4.6. *In the setting of the Lemma 4.4, the surface S is smooth. It is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth elliptic curve.*

Proof. Let $\mu : \tilde{S} \to S$ be the minimal resolution of S. Then by the Lemma 4.4 $-K_{\tilde{S}} = \mu^*(-K_S)$ is non-zero, effective and nef. Hence $\tilde{S}$ is uniruled and thus it admits a Mori fibration. Furthermore, since $\tilde{S}$ is relatively minimal by the Lemma 4.4, we deduce that $\tilde{S}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth curve.

Now by the classification in the Lemma 4.5, $\tilde{S}$ is either an elliptic fibration or a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth elliptic curve. In both of the two cases, we deduce that $\tilde{S}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth elliptic curve and $S = \tilde{S}$. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 4.7. *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold X with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Suppose that the anticanonical system $|-K_X| = A + |mH|$, $m \geq 2$ has non-empty fixed part A, and H is*

nef such that H^2 is a non-zero effective 1-cycle. Let F be a general member of $|H|$. Then F is a smooth surface such that $-K_F$ is nef and divisible by 2 in $NS(F)$ with $\nu(-K_F) = 1$, $\kappa(-K_F) = 0$. More precisely, $F = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ with $\mathcal{E}$ a rank 2 vector bundle over an elliptic curve as described in the Lemma 4.5, 2.(B). Furthermore, we have $m = 2$ and $A.F$ is a smooth elliptic curve.

Proof. By the Proposition 4.3, we have that $-K_F$ is non-zero, effective, nef and divisible by $r \geq 2$. Furthermore, $(-K_F)^2 = 0$ and F is not covered by $(-K_F)$-trivial curves. Hence we can apply the Lemma 4.4 and the Corollary 4.6 to obtain that the surface F is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth elliptic curve. Now since F is not covered by $(-K_F)$-trivial curves, i.e. $n(-K_F) = 2$, we deduce from the classification in Lemma 4.5 that $F = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ with $\mathcal{E}$ a rank 2 vector bundle over an elliptic curve defined as in the case (B).

Since $-K_F = A|_F + (m-1)F|_F$, we deduce from the structure of the unique element in $|-K_F|$ that $m = 2$ and $A.F$ is a smooth elliptic curve. $\square$

Lemma 4.8. *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold X with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Suppose that the anticanonical system $|-K_X| = A + |mH|$, $m \geq 2$ has non-empty fixed part A, and H is nef such that H^2 is a non-zero effective 1-cycle. Then A is an irreducible reduced smooth surface such that $-K_A$ nef and divisible by 2 in $Pic(A)$ with $\nu(-K_A) = 1$. More precisely, the surface A is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth elliptic curve.*

Proof. Let F be a general member in $|H|$. As $A|_F$ is an irreducible reduced curve by Lemma 4.7, we can find a divisor A_1 which occurs in A with multiplicity one and the rest A' does not meet F. Since $m = 2$ and $A.F$ is a smooth elliptic curve by the Lemma 4.7, the adjunction formula gives

$$-K_{A_1} = (A' + 2F)|_{A_1} = A'|_{A_1} + 2C_0,$$

where C_0 is a smooth elliptic curve and $A'|_{A_1}$ is an effective divisor on A_1.

Moreover, since F is nef and $A.F^2 = 0$, C_0 is nef and $C_0^2 = 0$ on A_1.

Now we can apply the Lemma 4.4 and the Corollary 4.6 to the surface A_1, which gives $A'|_{A_1} = 0$ and A_1 is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth elliptic curve. Moreover, the support of a divisor $D \in |-K_X|$ is connected in codimension one by [Sha99, Lem. 2.3.9]. As A' does not meet F and $A'|_{A_1} = 0$, we obtain $A' = 0$. Thus $A = A_1$ and $-K_A = 2F|_A$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 1.3. It follows from Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.8. $\square$

4.2 Running the minimal model program

In this subsection, we consider the following setup:

Setup 4.9. *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold X with $-K_X$ nef, $n(-K_X) = 3$, $\nu(-K_X) = 2$. Suppose that the anticanonical system $|-K_X| = A + |mH|$, $m \geq 2$ has non-empty fixed part A, and H is nef such that H^2 is a non-zero effective 1-cycle.*

Remind that in this setup, one has $|-K_X| = A + |2H|$, both A and a general member F in $|H|$ are $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles over a smooth elliptic curve such that their anticanonical divisors are nef and divisible by 2 in $Pic(A)$ (resp. in $NS(F)$). Furthermore, both $A.F$ and F^2 are smooth elliptic curves.

Consider a Mori contraction $\varphi : X \to Y$. Let R be the extremal ray contracted by φ. Recall that the length of an extremal ray R is defined by

$$l(R) = min\{-K_X.Z | [Z] \in R\}.$$

Let l be a rational curve such that $[l] \in R$ and $-K_X.l = l(R)$. In the birational case, we denote the exceptional divisor of φ by E.

4.2.1 Non-birational cases

In this part, we will show that the contraction $\varphi : X \to Y$ cannot be of Mori fiber type.

1. <u>dim $Y = 1$:</u>

   In this case, $-K_X.l = 1, 2$ or 3. Recall that for a Mori contraction $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$, all the fibers are irreducible. Since A is the fixed part of $|-K_X|$, it cannot be a fiber of φ. As for H, since H^2 is a non-zero effective cycle, it cannot be a fiber of φ. We deduce that $A.l > 0$ and $H.l > 0$, as the Picard group of X is generated by a fiber of φ and another element which has positive intersection with l. Therefore, $-K_X.l = 3$, $A.l = H.l = 1$ and φ is a $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$.

   Now we can write $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ with $\mathcal{E}$ a rank 3 vector bundle over $Y = \mathbb{P}^1$. After twisting $\mathcal{E}$ by some line bundle, we can suppose that $\mathcal{E} = \varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X(H)$ and $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$. Since H is nef, the vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is nef. From the fact that a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is nef if and only if it is generated by its global sections, we deduce that $\mathcal{E}$ is generated by its global sections. Therefore, $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ is also generated by its global sections. Since $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) = 2$ by the Corollary 2.5 and $H^2 \neq 0$, we have $Bs|H| \neq \emptyset$ which leads to a contradiction.

2. <u>dim $Y = 2$:</u>

   In this case, $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a conic bundle and we have $-K_X.l = 1$ or 2.

   (i) If $F.l = 0$, then we have $F = \varphi^*(C)$ where C is an irreducible curve on Y. Hence F^2 an effective cycle contained in some fiber of φ. This is absurd because F^2 is a smooth elliptic curve.

   (ii) If $F.l = 1$, then φ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle and induces a birational morphism from F to Y. This is impossible since $q(F) = 1$ and $q(Y) = 0$.

4.2.2 Birational contractions

Since X is a smooth threefold, the contraction φ is divisorial.
<u>Case $A.l = 0$:</u>

In this case, we have $F.l = 1$ and $-K_X.l = A.l + 2F.l = 2$. Hence φ is the blow-up of a smooth point on Y, with exceptional divisor $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathcal{O}_E(E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)$. Now the adjunction formula $K_E = (K_X + E)|_E$ gives

$$\mathcal{O}_E(A) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(2F) = \mathcal{O}_E(2).$$

As $E.F$ is a non-zero effective cycle, we deduce that $A.E = 0$ and $E.F = l$. On the other hand, we have

$$(E|_F)^2 = F.E^2 = F|_E.(-l) = -1.$$

Hence l is a (-1)-curve on the surface F, which contradicts the fact that F is relatively minimal.

Case $A.l < 0$:

Since the contraction is divisorial, we have $E = A$ in this case. Since A is a ruled surface over a smooth elliptic curve, we know that l is a fiber of A and $F.l = 1$. Therefore, φ is the blow-up of an elliptic curve and Y is smooth with $-K_Y$ nef by [DPS93, Thm. 3.8]. Furthermore, as we contract the curves meeting F transversally, we conclude that $G := \varphi(F) \simeq F$. Since

$$-K_Y = \varphi_*(-K_X) = \varphi_*(A + 2F) = 2\varphi_*(F) = 2G,$$

we see that $|-K_Y| = |2G|$ is without fixed part.

We can compute the Kodaira dimension and the numerical dimension for $-K_Y$:

$$\kappa(-K_Y) = \kappa(\varphi^*(-K_Y)) = \kappa(-K_X + E),$$

and similarly for the numerical dimension we have

$$\nu(-K_Y) = \nu(-K_X + E).$$

On the other hand, since $E = A$, we have

$$\kappa(-K_X) \leq \kappa(-K_X + E) = \kappa(2(F + E)) = \kappa(F + E) \leq \kappa(2F + A) = \kappa(-K_X)$$

and similarly

$$\nu(-K_X) \leq \nu(-K_X + E) = \nu(2(F + E)) = \nu(F + E) \leq \nu(2F + A) = \nu(-K_X),$$

we deduce that $\kappa(-K_Y) = \kappa(-K_X) = 1$ and $\nu(-K_Y) = \nu(-K_X) = 2$.

Case $A.l > 0$:

In this case, $F.l = 0$ since otherwise $-K_X.l > 2$ which is in contradiction to [MM83, Sect. 3]. Furthermore, $E \neq A$ and thus $A.E$ is an effective cycle. We will show that the only possible case is when φ contract E to a smooth curve of positive genus.

By the classification of Mori-Mukai [MM83, Sect. 3], we are in one of the following cases:

(1) If E is contracted to a point, then one of following cases occors:

20

(i) $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathcal{O}_E(E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)$. In this case, we have $A.l = 2$ and the adjunction formula $K_E = (K_X + E)|_E$ gives

$$\mathcal{O}_E(A) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(2F) = \mathcal{O}_E(2).$$

As $A.E$ is a non-zero effective cycle, we deduce that $F|_E = 0$ and $A|_E = \mathcal{O}_E(2)$.

(ii) $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{O}_E(E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1)$. In this case, we have $A.l = 1$ and the adjunction formula gives

$$\mathcal{O}_E(A) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(2F) = \mathcal{O}_E(1, 1).$$

As $A.E$ is a non-zero effective cycle, we deduce that $F|_E = 0$ and $A|_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1, 1)$.

(iii) E is a quadric cone in $\mathbb{P}^3$ with $\mathcal{O}_E(E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E$. In this case, we have $A.l = 1$ and the adjunction formula gives

$$\mathcal{O}_E(A) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(2F) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E = \mathcal{O}_E(2l).$$

But since $F|_E$ is Cartier, one cannot have $F|_E = l$ which is $\frac{1}{2}$-Cartier. Hence $A|_E = 2l$, $F|_E = 0$.

(iv) $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathcal{O}_E(E) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-2)$. In this case, we have $A.l = 1$ and the adjunction formula gives

$$\mathcal{O}_E(A) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(2F) = \mathcal{O}_E(1).$$

As $A.E$ is a non-zero effective cycle, we deduce that $F|_E = 0$ and $A|_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1)$.

We first show that E cannot be contracted to a point. Suppose that we are in one of the above cases, then $F.E = 0$ and $A.E$ is a non-zero effective cycle of rational curves. On the other hand, A is a ruled surface over an elliptic curve, which implies that $E|_A$ consists of some fibers on A. But $F|_A$ is an elliptic curve which is a section, hence $E|_A.F|_A > 0$. This contradicts the fact that $F.E = 0$.

(2) If φ contracts E to a smooth curve $C \subset Y$ of genus g, then $E \simeq \mathbb{P}(N^*_{C/Y})$. Let $V = N^*_{C/Y} \otimes L$ with $L \in Pic(C)$ be the normalization of the conormal bundle [Har77, Chapter. V, Prop. 2.8]. Then $N_{E/X} = -C_1 + \mu l$ where C_1 is the minimal section satisfying $C_1^2 = c_1(V) =: -d$ and $\mu := degL$.

In this case, one has $-K_X.l = 1$, $F.l = 0$ and $A.l = 1$. Hence $F|_E = bl$ with $b \geq 0$ and the adjunction formula gives

$$-K_E = (A + 2F)|_E - E|_E,$$

i.e. $A|_E = C_1 + (d + \mu + 2(1 - g - b))l$.

Since F (resp. A) is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth elliptic curve, we deduce that the effective cycle $F.E$ (resp. $A.E$) does not contain the curve l otherwise l moves on the surface F (resp. A). Therefore, $F.E = 0$ and $A.E = C_1 + (d + \mu + 2(1 - g))l$ is a section of $\varphi|_E : E \to C$. In particular, $\varphi(F) \simeq F$ as $E.F = 0$, and all the curves l meet A transversally in one point which implies that $\varphi|_A$ is an isomorphism.

Now by the same argument as in the case (1), we deduce that the integral curve $A.E$ cannot be a rational curve. Hence C is of genus $g > 0$. By [DPS93, Prop. 3.3], $-K_Y$ is again nef.

Hence we have the following proposition:

Proposition 4.10. *In the setup of 4.9, let $\varphi : X \to Y$ be a Mori contraction. Then φ is the blow-up of a smooth curve C of positive genus in the smooth threefold Y with $-K_Y$ nef, $\kappa(-K_Y) = 1$, $\nu(-K_Y) = 2$. Let E be the exceptional divisor of φ. Then one of the following two cases occurs:*

(1) *$E = A$ and we have $|-K_Y| = |2G|$ with $G := \varphi(F) \simeq F$. Furthermore, the blown-up curve C is a smooth elliptic curve contained in the base locus of $|G|$.*

(2) *$E \neq A$ and $E.F = 0$. We have $|-K_Y| = A_Y + |2F_Y|$ where $A_Y := \varphi(A) \simeq A$, $F_Y := \varphi(F) \simeq F$ and F_Y^2 is a smooth elliptic curve. In particular, Y satisfies again the setup 4.9.*

Proof.

(1) It remains to prove the last assumption of the first case. Since $|-K_X| = A + |2H|$, one has $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) = 2$ by the Corollary 2.5.

Now consider the threefold Y, since the anticanonical system $|-K_Y| = |2G|$ has no fixed part and again $-K_Y$ is nef with $n(-K_Y) = 3$, $\nu(-K_Y) = 2$, one has $h^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(G)) = 2$ by the Corollary 2.5.

Since F is the strict transform of G by φ, we deduce from $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(F)) = h^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(G))$ that the blown-up elliptic curve C must be contained in the base locus of $|G|$.

(2) Since $E.F = 0$, we have $\varphi^*(F_Y) = F$. We deduce that F_Y is nef as $\varphi^*(F_Y) = F$ is nef.

We first show that $-K_Y$ is not semi-ample, which implies $\kappa(-K_Y) = 1$ and $\nu(-K_Y) = 2$.

Since F^2 is a non-zero effective 1-cycle and $E.F = 0$, we deduce that $F_Y^2 = \varphi(F)^2$ is also a non-zero effective 1-cycle. Since F_Y moves, $A_Y.F_Y$ is an effective 1-cycle. By the adjunction formula, we get

$$-K_{F_Y} = (-K_Y - F_Y)|_{F_Y} = (A_Y + F_Y)|_{F_Y}$$

and thus

$$-K_Y|_{F_Y} = -K_{F_Y} + F_Y|_{F_Y}$$

is a non-zero effective divisor on F_Y such that $-K_Y|_{F_Y} \le -2K_{F_Y}$, i.e. $h^0(F_Y, \mathcal{O}_{F_Y}(-2K_{F_Y} - (-K_Y))) > 0$.

Suppose by contradiction that $-K_Y$ is semi-ample, then $|-mK_Y|$ is base-point-free for $m \gg 0$. Hence its restriction $|-mK_Y|_{F_Y}|$ to F_Y is also base-point-free. On the other hand, since $F_Y \simeq F$, we have $\kappa(F_Y, -K_{F_Y}) = 0$. Hence

$$1 \le h^0(F_Y, \mathcal{O}_{F_Y}(-mK_Y)) \le h^0(F_Y, \mathcal{O}_{F_Y}(-2mK_{F_Y})) = 1.$$

Therefore, the linear system $|-mK_Y|_{F_Y}|$ is fixed, which contradicts the fact that $|-mK_Y|_{F_Y}|$ is base-point-free.

Now we show that the anticanonical system $|-K_Y|$ has a fixed part. Since F_Y is mobile, it is then clear that A_Y is the fixed part of $|-K_Y|$.

Suppose by contradiction that $|-K_Y|$ has no fixed part, then $-K_Y$ has index two by the Theorem 1.1. As $-K_Y = A_Y + 2F_Y$, this implies $A_Y = 2L$ for some $L \in Pic(Y)$. Hence

$$-K_{F_Y} = (A_Y + F_Y)|_{F_Y} = (2L + F_Y)|_{F_Y}.$$

Since $F_Y \simeq F$, F_Y is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth elliptic curve such that $-K_{F_Y}.f = 2$ where f is a fiber. Since F^2 is a smooth elliptic curve (a section of the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle F) and $E.F = 0$, we deduce that F_Y^2 is also a smooth elliptic curve (a section of the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle F_Y) and thus

$$F_Y|_{F_Y}.f = 1.$$

This implies $2L|_{F_Y}.f = 1$, which contradicts the fact that $L|_{F_Y}$ is a Cartier divisor.

$\square$

Remark 4.11. *In the setting of the Proposition 4.10 (2), we deduce by the same proposition that there exists a finite sequence*

$$X = X_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_k} X_k$$

where

- *φ_i is a blow-up along a smooth curve C_i of positive genus;*

- *X_i satisfies again the setup 4.9;*

- *X_k has a birational Mori contraction which contracts the fixed part A_k of $|-K_{X_k}|$.*

Furthermore, the curve C_i is contained in A_i, where A_i is the fixed part of $|-K_{X_i}|$ which is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth elliptic curve D_i. Then C_k is an elliptic curve and $k = 1$.

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let g_i be a fiber of the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle A_i.

Since C_i has positive genus and it is contained in the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle A_i, it must be surjective to the curve D_i. Let α_i be the degree of C_i onto the elliptic curve D_i. Then g_i meets C_i at α_i point(s). Hence in X_{i-1}, we have

$$E_{i-1}.g_{i-1} = \alpha_i$$

where E_{i-1} is the exceptional divisor of φ_i and g_{i-1} is the strict transform of g_i. Therefore,

$$-K_{X_{i-1}}.g_{i-1} = \varphi_k^*(-K_{X_i}).g_{i-1} - E_{i-1}.g_{i-1} = -K_{X_i}.g_i - \alpha_i.$$

Since $-K_{X_{i-1}}$ is nef, we deduce that $-K_{X_i}.g_i - \alpha_i \geq 0$ and thus $-K_{X_i}.g_i \geq 1$.

For $i = k$, since A_k is the exceptional divisor of a Mori contraction, we have $-K_{X_k}.g_k = 1$. Hence $\alpha_k = 1$ (which implies $C_k \simeq D_k$ is a smooth elliptic curve) and $-K_{X_{k-1}}.g_{k-1} = 0$ (which implies $k = 1$). $\square$

Proof of Proposition 1.4. It follows from Proposition 4.10 and Remark 4.11. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $|B|$ be the mobile part of the anticanonical system $|-K_X|$. By the Proposition 4.1, there exists a finite sequence of flops $\psi : X \dashrightarrow X'$ such that $-K_{X'}$ is nef and the mobile part $|B'|$ of $|-K_{X'}|$ is nef.

Now we consider the case when B is nef and suppose by contradiction that B^2 is a non-zero effective 1-cycle. Then by the Proposition 1.4, there exists a finite sequence

$$X = X_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_k} X_k \xrightarrow{\varphi_{k+1}} Y$$

with $k = 0$ or 1, where φ_i is a blow-up along a smooth elliptic curve and Y is one of the cases described in the Theorem 1.1 with $|-K_Y| = |2G|$. Moreover, a general member $D \in |G|$ is isomorphic to F, where F is a general member in $|H|$. Hence D is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth elliptic curve as described in the Lemma 4.5, 2.(B).

On the other hand, D is in one of the following cases:

1. If Y is a del Pezzo fibration: $\phi : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$, then by the Remark 3.3, $\phi : D \to \mathbb{P}^1$ induces a fibration on D with general fiber isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ or two $\mathbb{P}^1$'s intersecting at one point.

2. If $Y = \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over a smooth rational surface S, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a nef rank 2 vector bundle on S given by an extension

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_S \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S) \to 0$$

   with $\mathcal{I}_Z$ the ideal sheaf of $c_2(\mathcal{E})$ points on S, then by the Remark 3.5, $D = Bl_Z(S)$ is a rational surface.

3. If Y has a birational Mori contraction, then D contains a (-1)-curve by the Remark 3.8.

Hence $D \not\simeq F$, which gives a contradiction. $\square$

References

[BCE+02] Thomas Bauer, Frédéric Campana, Thomas Eckl, Stefan Kebekus, Thomas Peternell, Słwomir Rams, Tomasz Szemberg, and Lorenz Wotzlaw. A reduction map for nef line bundles. In *Complex geometry (Göttingen, 2000)*, pages 27–36. Springer, Berlin, 2002.

[Bir18] Caucher Birkar. Log calabi-yau fibrations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.10709*, 2018.

[BP04] Thomas Bauer and Thomas Peternell. Nef reduction and anticanonical bundles. *Asian J. Math.*, 8(2):315–352, 2004.

[Brî96] Vasile Brînzănescu. *Holomorphic vector bundles over compact complex surfaces*, volume 1624 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[CH19] Junyan Cao and Andreas Höring. A decomposition theorem for projective manifolds with nef anticanonical bundle. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 28(3):567–597, 2019.

[DPS93] Jean-Pierre Demailly, Thomas Peternell, and Michael Schneider. Kähler manifolds with numerically effective Ricci class. *Compositio Math.*, 89(2):217–240, 1993.

[Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.

[Isk77] V. A. Iskovskih. Fano threefolds. I. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 41(3):516–562, 717, 1977.

[Isk78] V. A. Iskovskih. Fano threefolds. II. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 42(3):506–549, 1978.

[JP08] Priska Jahnke and Thomas Peternell. Almost del Pezzo manifolds. *Adv. Geom.*, 8(3):387–411, 2008.

[Kaw82] Yujiro Kawamata. A generalization of Kodaira-Ramanujam's vanishing theorem. *Math. Ann.*, 261(1):43–46, 1982.

[Kaw85] Y. Kawamata. Pluricanonical systems on minimal algebraic varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 79(3):567–588, 1985.

[KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.

[Kol89] János Kollár. Flops. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 113:15–36, 1989.

[Laz04]   Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, volume 48 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series.

[LO16]   John Lesieutre and John Christian Ottem. Curves disjoint from a nef divisor. *Michigan Math. J.*, 65(2):321–332, 2016.

[MM83]   Shigefumi Mori and Shigeru Mukai. On Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$. In *Algebraic varieties and analytic varieties (Tokyo, 1981)*, volume 1 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 101–129. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.

[MM82]   Shigefumi Mori and Shigeru Mukai. Classification of Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$. *Manuscripta Math.*, 36(2):147–162, 1981/82.

[Mor82]   Shigefumi Mori. Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 116(1):133–176, 1982.

[Sha99]   I. R. Shafarevich, editor. *Algebraic geometry. V*, volume 47 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. Fano varieties, A translation of Algebraic geometry. 5 (Russian), Ross. Akad. Nauk, Vseross. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, Translation edited by A. N. Parshin and I. R. Shafarevich.

Zhixin Xie, Université Côte d'Azur, LJAD, France
Email address: zhixin.xie@univ-cotedazur.fr