



# Identities involving Cauchy numbers, harmonic numbers and zeta values

Bernard Candelpergher, Marc-Antoine Coppo

► **To cite this version:**

Bernard Candelpergher, Marc-Antoine Coppo. Identities involving Cauchy numbers, harmonic numbers and zeta values. 2010. hal-00495767v4

**HAL Id: hal-00495767**

**<https://hal.univ-cotedazur.fr/hal-00495767v4>**

Preprint submitted on 3 Sep 2010 (v4), last revised 19 Dec 2011 (v9)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Identities involving Cauchy numbers, harmonic numbers and zeta values

Bernard Candelpergher and Marc-Antoine Coppo  
University Nice Sophia Antipolis  
Laboratory Jean Alexandre Dieudonné  
Parc Valrose  
F-06108 Nice Cedex 2  
FRANCE

Bernard.CANDELPERGHER@unice.fr  
Marc-Antoine.COPPO@unice.fr

Preprint  
2010

## Abstract

Improving an old idea of Hermite, we present a new class of identities linking Cauchy numbers, harmonic numbers and zeta values.

**Mathematical Subject Classification (2000)** : 11B83, 11M41, 33B15, 40G99.

**Keywords** : Cauchy numbers, Bell polynomials, Harmonic numbers, Laplace-Borel transform, Mellin transform, Harmonic product.

## 1 Introduction

It is well known since the second-half of the 19th century that the Riemann zeta function may be represented by the (normalized) Mellin transform

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{s-1} \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} dt \quad \text{for } \Re(s) > 1,$$

and from late works of Hermite (cf. [8]) that one has also

$$\zeta(s) - \frac{1}{s-1} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{s-1} \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} (1-e^{-t})^n \right) dt \quad \text{for } \Re(s) \geq 1,$$

where  $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\lambda_{n+1} = \int_0^1 x(1-x)\cdots(n-x) dx$  are the (non-alternating) Cauchy numbers.

Improving Hermite's idea, one may, more generally, consider Mellin transforms of type

$$F(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{s-1} \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} f(1-e^{-t}) dt$$

with  $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n \frac{z^n}{n^k}$  for suitable sequences  $(\omega_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of rational numbers. The simplest interesting case  $\omega_n = 1$  corresponds to the *Arakawa-Kaneko zeta function* and has been studied in [6]. In this article, we investigate the case  $\omega_n = \frac{\lambda_n}{n!}$  i.e. we study the function

$$F_k(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{s-1} \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} f_k(1-e^{-t}) dt \text{ with } f_k(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} \frac{z^n}{n^k} \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

which is *a priori* defined in the half-plane  $\Re(s) \geq 1$  but analytically continues in the whole complex  $s$ -plane (Theorem 6). For  $k = 0$ , one must keep in mind that  $F_0(s)$  is nothing else than  $\zeta(s) - \frac{1}{s-1}$ .

An evaluation by two different ways of the values  $F_k(q)$  at positive integers  $q$  leads to a class of new identities linking Cauchy numbers, harmonic numbers and zeta values (Theorem 8) which naturally extends *Hermite's formula* for  $\zeta$  (cf. [5]) i.e.

$$F_0(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!n} P_{q-1}(H_n, H_n^{(2)}, \dots, H_n^{(q-1)}) = \zeta(q) - \frac{1}{q-1},$$

where the polynomials  $P_m$  are the *modified Bell polynomials* defined by the generating function

$$\exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \frac{z^k}{k}\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P_m(x_1, \dots, x_m) z^m,$$

and  $H_n^{(m)}$  are the harmonic numbers. In the simplest case  $k = 1$ , one obtains in particular the following relation

$$F_1(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!n^2} P_{q-1}(H_n, H_n^{(2)}, \dots, H_n^{(q-1)}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(n+1)}{n^q} + \gamma\zeta(q) + \zeta(q+1) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_n}{n^q} - \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^k n^{q-k}}.$$

For example, for  $q = 2$ , since  $P_1(H_n) = H_n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_n}{n^2} = 2\zeta(3)$ , then the previous relation may be written

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n H_n}{n!n^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(n+1)}{n^2} + \gamma\zeta(2) - \zeta(3) - 1,$$

and generalizes

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n H_n}{n!n} = \zeta(2) - 1.$$

The function  $F_k$  has also an interesting interpretation in terms of Ramanujan summation (cf. [2]) as underscored by Theorem 11. In particular, one shows the identity

$$F_k(1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} \frac{1}{n^{k+1}} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{P_k(H_n, H_n^{(2)}, \dots, H_n^{(k)})}{n}$$

where, in the right member,  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}}$  denotes the sum (in the sense of Ramanujan) of the divergent series. This raises a kind of “duality” between  $F_k(1)$  and  $F_0(k+1)$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 The non-alternating Cauchy numbers

**Definition 1.** The *Cauchy numbers* (cf. [9]) are the rational numbers  $\mathcal{C}_m$  defined for all natural numbers  $m$  by the exponential generating function :

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} \mathcal{C}_m \frac{z^m}{m!} = \frac{z}{\log(1+z)}.$$

Let  $\lambda_{n+1} := (-1)^n \mathcal{C}_{n+1}$ , then  $\lambda_{n+1} > 0$ , and changing  $z$  in  $-z$ , we get the following relation

$$\frac{1}{\log(1-z)} + \frac{1}{z} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{(n+1)!} z^n. \quad (1)$$

For  $z = 1 - e^{-t}$  and  $t > 0$ , this relation may be rewritten

$$\frac{1}{1 - e^{-t}} - \frac{1}{t} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} (1 - e^{-t})^{n-1}. \quad (2)$$

For each integer  $n \geq 1$ , we will call  $\lambda_n$  the  $n$ th *non-alternating* Cauchy number.

**Example 1.** The first non-alternating Cauchy numbers are

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{6}, \lambda_3 = \frac{1}{4}, \lambda_4 = \frac{19}{30}, \lambda_5 = \frac{9}{4}.$$

### 2.2 The modified Bell polynomials and the harmonic numbers

**Definition 2.** The *modified Bell polynomials* (cf. [7]) are the polynomials  $P_m$  defined for all natural numbers  $m$  by  $P_0 = 1$  and the generating function

$$\exp \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} x_k \frac{z^k}{k} \right) = 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} P_m(x_1, \dots, x_m) z^m. \quad (3)$$

**Proposition 1.** For all natural numbers  $m$ , and each integer  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t}(1 - e^{-t})^{n-1} \frac{t^m}{m!} dt = \frac{P_m(H_n, \dots, H_n^{(m)})}{n} \quad (4)$$

with

$$H_n^{(m)} := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j^m} \quad \text{and} \quad H_n := H_n^{(1)}.$$

*Proof.* One starts from the classical Euler's relation :

$$B(a, b) = \int_0^1 u^{a-1}(1 - u)^{b-1} du = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a + b)}$$

and substitute  $u = e^{-t}$ ,  $a = 1 - z$  and  $b = n + 1$ , then one obtains

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t}(1 - e^{-t})^n e^{tz} dt = \frac{n!}{(1 - z)(2 - z) \dots (n + 1 - z)}.$$

Moreover, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n!}{(1 - z)(2 - z) \dots (n + 1 - z)} &= \frac{n!}{(n + 1)!} \times \prod_{j=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{z}{j + 1}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n + 1)} \times \exp\left(-\sum_{j=0}^n \log\left(1 - \frac{z}{j + 1}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(n + 1)} \times \exp\left(\sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k(j + 1)^k}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(n + 1)} \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} H_{n+1}^{(k)} \frac{z^k}{k}\right) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_m(H_{n+1}^{(1)}, \dots, H_{n+1}^{(m)})}{n + 1} z^m \quad (\text{by (3)}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (4) results by identification of the term in  $z^m$ . □

**Example 2.** For small values of  $m$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(H_n) &= H_n; \quad P_2(H_n, H_n^{(2)}) = \frac{(H_n)^2}{2} + \frac{H_n^{(2)}}{2}; \\ P_3(H_n, H_n^{(2)}, H_n^{(3)}) &= \frac{(H_n)^3}{6} + \frac{H_n H_n^{(2)}}{2} + \frac{H_n^{(3)}}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

### 2.3 The Laplace-Borel transformation

We consider the vector space  $E$  of complex-valued functions  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(]0, +\infty[)$  such that

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $C_\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $|f(t)| \leq C_\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t}$  for all  $t \in ]0, +\infty[$ .

In particular, a function  $f \in E$  satisfies the two following properties :

- a) for all  $x$  with  $\Re(x) > 0$ ,  $t \mapsto e^{-xt} f(t)$  is integrable on  $]0, +\infty[$ ,
- b) for all  $\beta$  with  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,  $t \mapsto |f(t)| \frac{1}{t^\beta}$  is integrable on  $]0, 1[$ .

We recall now some basic properties (cf. [10]) of the Laplace transformation in this frame which is appropriate for our purpose.

**Definition 3.** Let  $f$  be a function in  $E$ . The *Laplace transform*  $\mathcal{L}(f)$  of  $f$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(f)(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-xt} f(t) dt \quad \text{for } \Re(x) > 0.$$

**Proposition 2** (cf. [10]). Let  $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{L}(E)$  be the image of  $E$  under  $\mathcal{L}$ . If  $a$  is a function in  $\mathcal{E}$ , then

- a)  $a$  is an analytic function of  $x$  in the half-plane  $\Re(x) > 0$ .
- b)  $a(x) \rightarrow 0$  when  $\Re(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ .
- c)  $\mathcal{L} : E \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  is an isomorphism.

**Definition 4.** Let  $a \in \mathcal{E}$ . The *Borel transform* of  $a$  is the unique function  $\widehat{a} \in E$  such that  $a = \mathcal{L}(\widehat{a})$ . One has the two reciprocal formulas

$$\widehat{a}(t) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} e^{zt} a(z) dz \quad \text{for all } c > 0 \text{ and } t > 0,$$

and

$$a(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-xt} \widehat{a}(t) dt \quad \text{for } \Re(x) > 0.$$

**Definition 5.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two functions in  $E$ . The convolution product  $f * g$  of  $f$  and  $g$  is the function defined for all  $t > 0$  by

$$(f * g)(t) = \int_0^t f(u) g(t-u) du.$$

**Proposition 3** (cf. [10]). If  $f \in E$  and  $g \in E$ , then  $f * g \in E$  and

$$\mathcal{L}(f * g) = \mathcal{L}(f) \mathcal{L}(g). \tag{5}$$

Hence, if  $a \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $b \in \mathcal{E}$  then  $ab \in \mathcal{E}$  since  $ab = \mathcal{L}(\widehat{a} * \widehat{b})$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $a$  be a function in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then the series*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t}(1 - e^{-t})^{n-1} \widehat{a}(t) dt$$

*converges and*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t}(1 - e^{-t})^{n-1} \widehat{a}(t) dt = \int_0^{+\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1 - e^{-t}} - \frac{1}{t} \right) e^{-t} \widehat{a}(t) dt. \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* By (2)

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1 - e^{-t}} - \frac{1}{t} \right) e^{-t} \widehat{a}(t) dt = \int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} (1 - e^{-t})^{n-1} e^{-t} \widehat{a}(t) dt.$$

In the right member, the order of  $\int_0^{+\infty}$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  may be interchanged since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} (1 - e^{-t})^{n-1} e^{-t} \widehat{a}(t) \right| dt &= \int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} (1 - e^{-t})^{n-1} e^{-t} |\widehat{a}(t)| dt \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1 - e^{-t}} - \frac{1}{t} \right) e^{-t} |\widehat{a}(t)| dt \end{aligned}$$

and the convergence of this last integral follows from the assumption that  $a \in \mathcal{E}$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.** Let  $a(x) = \frac{1}{x^s}$  with  $\Re(s) \geq 1$ . Then  $a \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\widehat{a}(t) = \frac{t^{s-1}}{\Gamma(s)}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t}(1 - e^{-t})^{n-1} \frac{t^{s-1}}{\Gamma(s)} dt &= \int_0^{+\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1 - e^{-t}} - \frac{1}{t} \right) e^{-t} \frac{t^{s-1}}{\Gamma(s)} dt \\ &= \begin{cases} \gamma & \text{if } s = 1 \\ \zeta(s) - \frac{1}{s-1} & \text{if } s \neq 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma$  refers to the Euler constant. In particular, since

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t}(1 - e^{-t})^{n-1} dt = \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{for each integer } n \geq 1,$$

then

$$\gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} \frac{1}{n}.$$

### 3 The operator $D$

**Proposition 4.** If  $a \in \mathcal{E}$ , then the integral

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t}(1-e^{-t})^{x-1}\widehat{a}(t)dt$$

converges for all  $x$  with  $\Re(x) > 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $a \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\Re(x) > 0$ , we may write for  $t \in ]0, +\infty[$ ,

$$|e^{-t}(1-e^{-t})^{x-1}\widehat{a}(t)| \leq e^{-t}e^{(1-\Re(x))(-\log(1-e^{-t}))} |\widehat{a}(t)| .$$

The convergence when  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  results from the inequality

$$e^{-t}e^{(1-\Re(x))(-\log(1-e^{-t}))} |\widehat{a}(t)| \leq \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} |\widehat{a}(t)| \leq 2e^{-t} |\widehat{a}(t)| .$$

The convergence when  $t \rightarrow 0$  results from the inequality

$$e^{(1-\Re(x))(-\log(1-e^{-t}))} \leq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{si } \Re(x) \geq 1 \\ \frac{1}{(1-e^{-t})^{(1-\Re(x))}} & \text{si } 0 < \Re(x) < 1 \end{cases}$$

since the function  $t \mapsto e^{-t} |\widehat{a}(t)| \frac{1}{(1-e^{-t})^\beta}$  is integrable at 0 for  $0 < \beta < 1$  by definition of  $E$ .  $\square$

**Definition 6.** Let  $a$  be a function in  $\mathcal{E}$ . We call  $D(a)$  the function defined for all  $x$  with  $\Re(x) > 0$  by

$$D(a)(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t}(1-e^{-t})^{x-1}\widehat{a}(t)dt . \quad (7)$$

**Remark 1.** a) By Theorem 1, the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} D(a)(n)$  converges and its sum is given by formula (6).

b) The values of  $D(a)$  at positive integers may be computed directly without the recourse to  $\widehat{a}$ . The development of  $(1-e^{-t})^n$  by the binomial theorem gives

$$D(a)(n+1) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} a(k+1) \quad \text{for all integer } n \geq 0. \quad (8)$$

**Definition 7.** We call  $\Lambda$  the  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}_+$  defined by  $\Lambda(u) := -\log(1-e^{-u})$ . In particular, it is important to note that  $\Lambda$  is involutive :

$$\Lambda^{-1} = \Lambda .$$



**Theorem 2.** Let  $a$  be a function in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then the function  $D(a) \in \mathcal{E}$  and, moreover, verifies the relation

$$\widehat{D(a)} = \widehat{a}(\Lambda) \quad (9)$$

where  $\widehat{a}(\Lambda)$  denotes  $\widehat{a} \circ \Lambda$ .

*Proof.* The change of variables  $t = \Lambda(u)$  in (7) gives

$$D(a)(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-xu} \widehat{a}(\Lambda(u)) du \quad \text{for } \Re(x) > 0.$$

Thus,  $D(a) = \mathcal{L}(\widehat{a}(\Lambda))$ . It remains to prove that  $D(a) \in \mathcal{E}$ . One has only to check that the function  $\widehat{a}(\Lambda)$  is in  $E$ . This function being in  $\mathcal{C}^1(]0, +\infty[)$ , it suffices to show that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the function  $u \mapsto e^{-\varepsilon u} |\widehat{a}(-\log(1 - e^{-u}))|$  is bounded on  $]0, +\infty[$ . This results from the existence of  $C_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$|\widehat{a}(-\log(1 - e^{-u}))| \leq C_\varepsilon (1 - e^{-u})^\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } u \in ]0, +\infty[.$$

□

**Example 4.** Let  $a(x) = \frac{1}{x^s}$  with  $\Re(s) \geq 1$ . Then  $\widehat{a}(t) = \frac{t^{s-1}}{\Gamma(s)}$ . Thus, by (9),

$$D\left(\frac{1}{x^s}\right) = \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\Lambda^{s-1}}{\Gamma(s)}\right). \quad (10)$$

If  $m$  is a natural number and  $s = m + 1$ , then by (4) and (7)

$$D\left(\frac{1}{x^{m+1}}\right)(n) = \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\Lambda^m}{m!}\right)(n) = \frac{P_m(H_n, \dots, H_n^{(m)})}{n}. \quad (11)$$

By (8), one has also

$$D\left(\frac{1}{x^{m+1}}\right)(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n-1}{k-1} \frac{1}{k^{m+1}}.$$

Thus, from (11) and *Dilcher's formula* (cf. [1] Proposition 11), one deduces the nice identity

$$P_m(H_n, H_n^{(2)}, \dots, H_n^{(m)}) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{k^m} = \sum_{n \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_m \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1 \dots n_m}. \quad (12)$$

**Remark 2.** Theorem 2 may be summarized in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{D} & \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow \mathcal{L}^{-1} & & \uparrow \mathcal{L} \\ E & \xrightarrow{\Lambda^*} & E \end{array}$$

where  $\Lambda^*(\widehat{a}) := \widehat{a}(\Lambda)$ . The algebraic properties of  $D$  are sum up in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *The operator  $D$  is an automorphism of  $\mathcal{E}$  which verifies  $D = D^{-1}$  and lets invariant the function  $x \mapsto \frac{1}{x}$ .*

*Proof.* We can write  $D = \mathcal{L}\Lambda^*\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  and  $\Lambda^*$  is an automorphism of  $E$  which verifies  $\Lambda^* = (\Lambda^*)^{-1}$  since  $\Lambda = \Lambda^{-1}$ . Furthermore

$$D\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = \mathcal{L}(1) = \frac{1}{x}.$$

□

## 4 The function $F_k$

### 4.1 Series representation

**Theorem 4.** *For all  $s$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(s) \geq 1$  and each natural number  $k$ , let*

$$F_k(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!n^k} D\left(\frac{1}{x^s}\right)(n). \quad (13)$$

*Then, for all natural numbers  $m$ ,*

$$F_k(m+1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!n^{k+1}} P_m(H_n, H_n^{(2)}, \dots, H_n^{(m)}). \quad (14)$$

*Proof.* By (11), one has  $D\left(\frac{1}{x^{m+1}}\right)(n) = \frac{P_m(H_n, \dots, H_n^{(m)})}{n}$ . □

**Remark 3.** Since  $F_0(s) = \zeta(s) - \frac{1}{s-1}$ , then, in the case  $k = 0$ , (14) is nothing else than *Hermite's formula* for  $\zeta$  (cf. [5]).

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\vartheta(s)$  be the Dirichlet series defined for  $\Re(s) > 0$  by*

$$\vartheta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

*Then for each natural number  $k \geq 1$ ,*

$$\vartheta(k) = F_{k-1}(1). \quad (15)$$

**Remark 4.** By (1) and a tauberian theorem, one has  $\vartheta(0) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} = 1$ .

**Example 5.**

$$\begin{aligned}
F_0(1) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!n} = \gamma = \vartheta(1), \\
F_0(2) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n H_n}{n!n} = \zeta(2) - 1, \\
F_0(3) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n H_n^2}{n!n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n H_n^{(2)}}{n!n} = \zeta(3) - \frac{1}{2}, \\
F_1(1) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!n^2} = \vartheta(2), \\
F_1(2) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n H_n}{n!n^2}, \\
F_1(3) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n H_n^2}{n!n^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n H_n^{(2)}}{n!n^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

**4.2 Integral representation**

**Theorem 5.** For all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(s) \geq 1$  and each natural number  $k$ ,

$$F_k(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{s-1} \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} f_k(1-e^{-t}) dt \quad \text{with} \quad f_k(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n z^n}{n! n^k}. \quad (16)$$

*Proof.* Since  $D\left(\frac{1}{x^s}\right) = \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\Lambda^{s-1}}{\Gamma(s)}\right)$ , we deduce from (13) that

$$F_k(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} f_k(e^{-u})(\Lambda(u))^{s-1} du$$

and the representation (16) results from the change of variables  $t = \Lambda(u)$ .  $\square$

The fact that  $F_k$  may be represented by a Mellin transform enables to analytically continue this function outside its half-plane of definition by a standard analytic method (cf. [11] section 6.7).

**Theorem 6.** The function  $F_k$  analytically continues in the whole complex plane as an entire function.

*Proof.* The function  $z \mapsto \frac{1}{\log(1-z)} + \frac{1}{z}$  being analytic in the disc  $D(0, 1)$  with a singularity at 1, we deduce from (1) that the radius of convergence of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n z^n}{n!}$

is equal to 1. Thus 1 is also the radius of convergence of the serie  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n z^n}{n! n^k}$  which defines an analytic function  $f_k$  in the disc  $D(0,1)$ . Hence, the function

$$g_k : t \mapsto f_k(1 - e^{-t})$$

is analytic for all  $t \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $1 - e^{-t} \in D(0,1)$ . Since  $1 - e^0 = 0$ , it follows that  $g_k$  is analytic in a neighbourhood of 0. Since  $g_k(0) = 0$ , the function  $t \mapsto g_k(t) \frac{e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-t}}$  is itself analytic in a neighbourhood of 0. It follows that its Mellin transform analytically continues in the complex plane with simple poles at negative integers which are all cancelled by the poles of  $\Gamma$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 7.** For all  $s$  with  $\Re(s) > 1$  and each integer  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$F_k(s) = \vartheta(k)\zeta(s) + \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^j \vartheta(k-j) Z_j(s) + (-1)^k \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{s-1} \frac{e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-t}} T^k \left( \frac{e^{-t} - 1}{t} \right) dt \quad (17)$$

with

$$Z_j(s) := \sum_{n > n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_j > 0} \frac{1}{n^s n_1 n_2 \dots n_j} \quad (18)$$

and  $T$  is the operator defined by

$$Tf(t) := \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{1 - e^{-u}} f(u) du. \quad (19)$$

*Proof.* The theorem results from the integral representation (16) and the two following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $T$  be the operator defined by (19). Then for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$f_k(1 - e^{-t}) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \vartheta(k-j) \frac{\Lambda^j(t)}{j!} + (-1)^k T^k \left( \frac{e^{-t} - 1}{t} \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $g_k(t) := f_k(1 - e^{-t})$ . The function  $g_k$  verifies the recursive relation

$$g'_k(t) = e^{-t} f'_k(1 - e^{-t}) = \frac{e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-t}} f_{k-1}(1 - e^{-t}) = \frac{e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-t}} g_{k-1}(t)$$

Thus

$$g_k(t) = \int_0^t \frac{e^{-u}}{1 - e^{-u}} g_{k-1}(u) du = g_k(+\infty) - \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{1 - e^{-u}} g_{k-1}(u) du$$

with

$$g_k(+\infty) = f_k(1) = \vartheta(k).$$

Thus, one has

$$g_k(t) = \vartheta(k) - \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{1 - e^{-u}} g_{k-1}(u) du = \vartheta(k) - T(g_{k-1}).$$

A repeated iteration  $k$  times of this relation gives

$$g_k(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \vartheta(k-j) (-1)^j T^j(1) + (-1)^k T^k(g_0).$$

Now, by (2),

$$g_0(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n (1 - e^{-t})^n}{n!} = \frac{e^{-t} - 1}{t} + 1,$$

and thus

$$T^k(g_0) = T^k\left(\frac{e^{-t} - 1}{t}\right) + T^k(1).$$

Hence

$$g_k(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \vartheta(k-j) (-1)^j T^j(1) + (-1)^k T^k(1) + (-1)^k T^k\left(\frac{e^{-t} - 1}{t}\right).$$

Since  $\vartheta(0) = 1$ , one deduces that

$$g_k(t) = \sum_{j=0}^k \vartheta(k-j) (-1)^j T^j(1) + (-1)^k T^k\left(\frac{e^{-t} - 1}{t}\right)$$

and, now, it remains to prove that

$$\frac{\Lambda^j(t)}{j!} = T^j(1)$$

which follows from the recursive relation

$$\frac{\Lambda^j(t)}{j!} = - \int_{+\infty}^t \frac{e^{-u}}{1 - e^{-u}} \frac{\Lambda^{j-1}(u)}{(j-1)!} du = T\left(\frac{\Lambda^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}\right).$$

□

**Lemma 2.** Let  $Z_j(s)$  defined by (18). Then, for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(s) > 1$ ,

$$Z_j(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{s-1} \frac{e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-t}} \frac{\Lambda^j(t)}{j!} dt.$$

*Proof.* From the recursive relation

$$\partial \frac{\Lambda^j(t)}{j!} = \frac{\Lambda^{j-1}(t)}{(j-1)!} \partial \Lambda(t) = -\frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} \frac{\Lambda^{j-1}(t)}{(j-1)!} = -\sum_{m>0} e^{-mt} \frac{\Lambda^{j-1}(t)}{(j-1)!},$$

and  $\Lambda(t) = \sum_{n>0} \frac{e^{-nt}}{n}$ , one may check by induction on  $j$  that

$$\frac{\Lambda^j(t)}{j!} = \sum_{n_1>n_2>\dots>n_j>0} \frac{e^{-n_1 t}}{n_1} \frac{1}{n_2} \dots \frac{1}{n_j}.$$

Furthermore, one has

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{s-1} e^{-Nt} \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} dt = \sum_{n>N} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad (\text{for } \Re(s) > 1).$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{s-1} \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} \frac{\Lambda^j(t)}{j!} dt = \sum_{n>n_1>n_2>\dots>n_j>0} \frac{1}{n^s} \frac{1}{n_1} \frac{1}{n_2} \dots \frac{1}{n_j} = Z_j(s).$$

□

□

### 4.3 Identities linking Cauchy numbers, harmonic numbers and zeta values

From Theorem 4 and Theorem 7 gathered together, we immediately deduce the following theorem.

**Theorem 8.** *For all integers  $q \geq 2$ ,*

$$F_0(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!n} P_{q-1}(H_n, H_n^{(2)}, \dots, H_n^{(q-1)}) = \zeta(q) - \frac{1}{q-1}, \quad (20)$$

and for  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_k(q) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!n^{k+1}} P_{q-1}(H_n, H_n^{(2)}, \dots, H_n^{(q-1)}) = \\ &\vartheta(k)\zeta(q) + \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^j \vartheta(k-j) Z_j(q) + (-1)^k \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{q-1} \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} T^k \left( \frac{e^{-t}-1}{t} \right) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

In particular,

$$F_1(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!n^2} P_{q-1}(H_n, H_n^{(2)}, \dots, H_n^{(q-1)}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(n+1)}{n^q} + \gamma\zeta(q) + \zeta(q+1) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_n}{n^q} - \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^k n^{q-k}}. \quad (22)$$

*Proof.* Formula (21) results from (17) and (14). We apply now (21) with  $k = 1$ . This gives

$$F_1(q) = \gamma\zeta(q) - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{H_{n-1}}{n^q} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{q-1} \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} E_1(t) dt$$

with  $E_1(t) := -\text{Ei}(-t) = \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{u} du$ . Thus,

$$F_1(q) = \gamma\zeta(q) - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{H_n}{n^q} + \zeta(q+1) + I(q)$$

where

$$I(q) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{q-1} \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} E_1(t) dt = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-nt} t^{q-1} E_1(t) dt.$$

Since

$$E_1(t) = -\gamma - \log t + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} t^n}{n n!},$$

and  $-\gamma - \log t = \widehat{\frac{\log x}{x}}$  (cf. [10]), then  $E_1 = \widehat{\frac{\log(x+1)}{x}}$ . Thus

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-nt} t^{q-1} E_1(t) dt = (-1)^{q-1} \left( \frac{\log(x+1)}{x} \right)^{(q-1)}(n).$$

Hence, by a calculation of the  $(q-1)$ th derivative, we get

$$I(q) = \frac{(-1)^{q-1}}{(q-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\log(x+1)}{x} \right)^{(q-1)}(n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(n+1)}{n^q} - \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^k n^{q-k}}.$$

□

**Remark 5.** 1) We recall *Euler's formula* (cf. [4])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_n}{n^2} = 2\zeta(3), \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_n}{n^q} = \frac{1}{2}(q+2)\zeta(q+1) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{q-2} \zeta(k+1)\zeta(q-k) \text{ for } q > 2.$$

2) From  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)n} = 1$  and the decomposition

$$\frac{1}{(n+1)^k n^{q-k}} = \frac{1}{(n+1)^{k-1} n^{q-k}} - \frac{1}{(n+1)^k n^{q-k-1}} \quad (0 < k < q),$$

the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^k n^{q-k}}$  may be expressed as a linear combination of zeta values and integers.

**Example 6.**

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(n+1)}{n^2} + \gamma\zeta(2) - \zeta(3) - 1 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n H_n}{n!n^2}, \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(n+1)}{n^3} + \gamma\zeta(3) - \frac{1}{10}\zeta(2)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta(2) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n H_n^2}{n!n^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n H_n^{(2)}}{n!n^2}, \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(n+1)}{n^4} + \gamma\zeta(4) - 2\zeta(5) + \zeta(2)\zeta(3) - \frac{2}{3}\zeta(3) + \frac{1}{3}\zeta(2) - \frac{1}{2} &= \\ \frac{1}{6} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n H_n^3}{n!n^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n H_n H_n^{(2)}}{n!n^2} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n H_n^{(3)}}{n!n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

#### 4.4 Link with the Ramanujan summation

The function  $F_k$  has strong connections with Ramanujan summation (cf. [2], [3]).

**Definition 8.** Let  $a$  be a function in  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L}(E)$ . The *Ramanujan sum* of the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a(n)$  is defined by

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} a(n) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} D(a)(n). \quad (23)$$

**Proposition 5.** If  $a$  and  $b$  are in  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $\widehat{a}(\Lambda) * \widehat{b}(\Lambda) \in E$ .

*Proof.* From the definition of the convolution product, one may write

$$\left( \widehat{a}(\Lambda) * \widehat{b}(\Lambda) \right) (t) = \int_0^t \widehat{a}(\Lambda(u)) \widehat{b}(\Lambda(t-u)) du.$$

Now, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $C_\varepsilon > 0$  and  $D_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \widehat{a}(-\log(1 - e^{-u})) \right| &\leq C_\varepsilon (1 - e^{-u})^\varepsilon \text{ and} \\ \left| \widehat{b}(-\log(1 - e^{-(t-u)})) \right| &\leq D_\varepsilon (1 - e^{-(t-u)})^\varepsilon \text{ for all } u \in ]0, +\infty[. \end{aligned}$$



It follows that

$$\left| (\widehat{a}(\Lambda) * \widehat{b}(\Lambda))(t) \right| \leq C_\varepsilon D_\varepsilon \int_0^t (1 - e^{-u})^\varepsilon (1 - e^{-(t-u)})^\varepsilon du.$$

One has also

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (1 - e^{-u})^\varepsilon (1 - e^{-(t-u)})^\varepsilon du &= (1 - e^{-t})^{1+2\varepsilon} \int_0^1 u^\varepsilon (1 - u)^\varepsilon \frac{1}{(1 - (1 - e^{-t})u)^{\varepsilon+1}} du \\ &\leq (1 - e^{-t})^{1+2\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1 - (1 - e^{-t})u)^{\varepsilon+1}} du \leq (1 - e^{-t})^{1+2\varepsilon} \frac{e^{t\varepsilon} - 1}{(1 - e^{-t})^\varepsilon} \\ &\leq (1 - e^{-t})^{2\varepsilon} \frac{e^{t\varepsilon} - 1}{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{e^{t\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\left| (\widehat{a}(\Lambda) * \widehat{b}(\Lambda))(t) \right| \leq C_\varepsilon D_\varepsilon \frac{e^{t\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}$ , which proves that this function belongs to  $E$  as required.  $\square$

**Definition 9.** Let  $a$  and  $b$  two functions in  $\mathcal{E}$ . The  $\Lambda$ -convolution product  $\widehat{a} \circledast \widehat{b}$  of  $\widehat{a}$  and  $\widehat{b}$  is defined by

$$\widehat{a} \circledast \widehat{b} = \Lambda^*(\Lambda^*(\widehat{a}) * \Lambda^*(\widehat{b}))$$

(or equivalently since  $\Lambda^* = (\Lambda^*)^{-1}$ )

$$(\widehat{a} \circledast \widehat{b})(\Lambda) = \widehat{a}(\Lambda) * \widehat{b}(\Lambda),$$

and the *harmonic product*  $a \bowtie b$  of  $a$  and  $b$  by

$$a \bowtie b = \mathcal{L}(\widehat{a} \circledast \widehat{b}).$$

**Remark 6.** The  $\Lambda$ -convolution product and the harmonic product inherit of the algebraic properties of the ordinary convolution product *i.e.* bilinearity, commutativity and associativity. This construction may be summarized in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (a, b) & \longrightarrow & (\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}) & \longrightarrow & (\widehat{a}(\Lambda), \widehat{b}(\Lambda)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ a \bowtie b & \longleftarrow & \widehat{a} \circledast \widehat{b} & \longleftarrow & \widehat{a}(\Lambda) * \widehat{b}(\Lambda) \end{array}$$

**Theorem 9.** Let  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then,

$$D(a \bowtie b) = D(a) D(b) \tag{24}$$

and

$$D(ab) = D(a) \bowtie D(b). \tag{25}$$

*Proof.* One recalls (cf. Theorem 3) that

$$D = \mathcal{L}\Lambda^*\mathcal{L}^{-1}.$$

Hence

$$D(a \rtimes b) = \mathcal{L}\Lambda^*\mathcal{L}^{-1}(a \rtimes b) = \mathcal{L}\Lambda^*(\widehat{a} \otimes \widehat{b}) = \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^*(\widehat{a}) * \Lambda^*(\widehat{b}))$$

and it follows from (5) and (9) that

$$\mathcal{L}(\Lambda^*(\widehat{a}) * \Lambda^*(\widehat{b})) = \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^*(\widehat{a}))\mathcal{L}(\Lambda^*(\widehat{b})) = D(a)D(b)$$

which proves (24). Moreover, (24) enables to write

$$D(D(a) \rtimes D(b)) = D^2(a)D^2(b) = ab \quad (\text{since } D = D^{-1}),$$

and so

$$D(ab) = D^2(D(a) \rtimes D(b)) = D(a) \rtimes D(b)$$

which proves (25). □

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (a \rtimes b)(n) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} D(a)(n) D(b)(n), \\ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (ab)(n) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} (D(a) \rtimes D(b))(n). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

**Remark 7.** The values of  $(a \rtimes b)(n)$  may be computed without the recourse to  $\widehat{a}$  and  $\widehat{b}$ . By elementary transformations, it can be shown that

$$(a \rtimes b)(n+1) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} (e^{-t-s})(e^{-t} + e^{-s} - e^{-t}e^{-s})^n \widehat{a}(t)\widehat{b}(s) dt ds.$$

Hence, if the numbers  $C_n^{k,l}$  are defined by

$$(X + Y - XY)^n = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq n \\ 0 \leq l \leq n}} C_n^{k,l} X^k Y^l,$$

then, one has the following explicit formula

$$(a \rtimes b)(n+1) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq n \\ 0 \leq l \leq n}} C_n^{k,l} a(k+1)b(l+1).$$

The name ‘‘harmonic product’’ is justified by the following harmonic property :

$$\left( \frac{1}{x} \rtimes a \right) (n) = \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a(k) \right).$$

This harmonic property results from the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{x} \bowtie a &= \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-xu} \left( \int_u^{+\infty} \widehat{a}(t) \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} dt \right) du \\
&= \int_0^{+\infty} \left( \int_0^t e^{-xu} du \right) \widehat{a}(t) \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{x} \int_0^{+\infty} (1-e^{-xt}) \widehat{a}(t) \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} dt \\
&= \frac{A(x)}{x} \quad \text{with } A(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-xt} - 1}{e^{-t} - 1} e^{-t} \widehat{a}(t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 10.** *Let*

$$\left( \frac{1}{x} \right)^{\bowtie k} := \underbrace{\frac{1}{x} \bowtie \frac{1}{x} \bowtie \cdots \bowtie \frac{1}{x}}_k \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots)$$

where  $\frac{1}{x}$  denotes (improperly) the function  $x \mapsto \frac{1}{x}$ . Then, for all natural numbers  $m$ ,

$$\left( \frac{1}{x} \right)^{\bowtie(m+1)} = D\left(\frac{1}{x^{m+1}}\right) = \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\Lambda^m}{m!}\right). \quad (27)$$

*Proof.* By (25) we have

$$D\left(\frac{1}{x^{m+1}}\right) = D\left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{x} \cdots \frac{1}{x}}_{m+1}\right) = \left(D\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right)^{\bowtie(m+1)} = \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{\bowtie(m+1)} \quad \text{since } D\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = \frac{1}{x}.$$

Thus, (27) results from (10). □

**Example 7.**

$$\frac{1}{x} \bowtie \frac{1}{x} = D\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right) = \mathcal{L}(\Lambda) = \frac{H(x)}{x} \quad \text{with } H(x) := \psi(x+1) + \gamma,$$

$\psi$  denoting the logarithmic derivative of  $\Gamma$ . In particular, for each integer  $n \geq 1$

$$\left( \frac{1}{x} \bowtie \frac{1}{x} \right) (n) = \frac{H(n)}{n} = \frac{H_n}{n}.$$

**Theorem 11.** *for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(s) \geq 1$ , one has*

$$F_0(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad \text{and} \quad F_k(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \left( \left( \frac{1}{x} \right)^{\bowtie k} \bowtie \frac{1}{x^s} \right) (n) \quad \text{for } k \geq 1. \quad (28)$$

*Proof.* By (13), (23), (26) and the invariance of  $\frac{1}{x}$  by  $D$ , one may write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \left( \left( \frac{1}{x} \right)^{\times k} \times \frac{1}{x^s} \right) (n) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} D \left( \left( \frac{1}{x} \right)^{\times k} \right) (n) D \left( \frac{1}{x^s} \right) (n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} \left( \frac{1}{x} \right)^k (n) D \left( \frac{1}{x^s} \right) (n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n! n^k} D \left( \frac{1}{x^s} \right) (n) = F_k(s). \end{aligned}$$

□

In particular, by (11) and (27), one deduces from (28) the following identity :

**Corollary 3.** *For each natural number  $k$ ,*

$$F_k(1) = \vartheta(k+1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n!} \frac{1}{n^{k+1}} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{P_k(H_n, H_n^{(2)}, \dots, H_n^{(k)})}{n}. \quad (29)$$

**Example 8.**

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(1) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n! n} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} = \gamma, \\ \vartheta(2) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n! n^2} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_n}{n}, \\ \vartheta(3) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n! n^3} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_n^2}{n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_n^{(2)}}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 8.** Comparing (20) (applied with  $q = k+1$ ) with (29) above, one may observe a kind of duality between  $F_k(1)$  and  $F_0(k+1)$ . This results from the fact that  $D = D^{-1}$ .

## References

- [1] K. Boyadzhiev, Harmonic number identities via Euler's transform, *Journal of Integer Sequences*, **12** (2009), Article 09.6.1.
- [2] B. Candelpergher, M.A. Coppo, and E. Delabaere, La sommation de Ramanujan, *L'Enseignement Mathématique* **43** (1997), 93-132.
- [3] B. Candelpergher, H. Gadiyar, and R. Padma, Ramanujan summation and the exponential generating function  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} \zeta'(-k)$ , *The Ramanujan J.* **21** (2010), 99-122.

- [4] J. Choi and H. M. Srivastava, Explicit evaluation of Euler and related sums, *The Ramanujan J.* **10** (2005), 51-70.
- [5] M-A. Coppo, Nouvelles expressions des formules de Hasse et de Hermite pour la fonction zêta d'Hurwitz, *Expositiones Math.* **27** (2009), 79-86.
- [6] M-A. Coppo and B. Candelpergher, The Arakawa-Kaneko Zeta function, *The Ramanujan J.* **22** (2010), 153-162.
- [7] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick, Mellin Transforms and Asymptotics : Finite differences and Rice's integrals, *Theoretical Computer Science* **144** (1995), 101-124.
- [8] C. Hermite, Extrait de quelques lettres de M. Ch. Hermite à M. S. Pincherle, *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata* **5** (1901), 55-72.
- [9] D. Merlini, R. Sprugnoli, and C. Verri, The Cauchy numbers, *Discrete Math.* **306** (2006), 1906-1920.
- [10] J. Schiff, *The Laplace transform : theory and applications*, Springer, New-York, 1999.
- [11] E. Zeidler, *Quantum Field Theory I : Basics in Mathematics and Physics*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.